

Nový Hlavák

New Main Station District

Competitive Dialogue Procurement Procedure

Tender documentation

Capital City of Prague
Správa železnic, state organization
Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company

&
Prague Institute of Planning and Development, contributory organisation



IPR
PRAGA

The Capital City of Prague represented by the Department of Property Management of the Capital City of Prague

Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company

Správa železnic, state organisation

on the basis of the Joint Procurement Agreement

announce

pursuant to Act No. 134/2016 Sb., on Public Procurement, as amended,

Act No. 183/2006 Sb., on Spatial Planning and Building Regulations (the Building Act), as amended,

Act No. 360/1992 Sb., on the Exercise of Profession of Authorised Architects and Profession of Authorised Engineers and Technicians Active in Construction, as amended,

taking into account the competition rules of the Czech Chamber of Architects of 24 April 1993, as amended

and taking into account the provisions of Sections 1772 to 1779 of Act No. 89/2012 Sb., the Civil Code, as amended,

**ABOVE-THRESHOLD PUBLIC CONTRACT FOR SERVICES AWARDED IN A
COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE TITLED**

NEW MAIN STATION DISTRICT

**(THE REVITALISATION OF VRCHLICKÉHO SADY PARK, NEW CONSTRUCTION OF
THE TRAMWAY LINE MUZEUM – BOLZANOVA AND RECONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW
TERMINAL HALL OF THE PRAGUE MAIN RAILWAY STATION)**

TENDER DOCUMENTATION

The Contracting Authority awards the Public Contract in the form of a Competitive Dialogue Procurement Procedure with reference to Section 68 in conjunction with Section 60(1)(b) of the PPA, as the Public Contract's performance includes a draft design.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	DEFINITIONS	3
2	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY, EVALUATION COMMITTEE, INVITED EXPERTS AND AUXILIARY BODIES.....	7
3	SUBJECT OF THE PUBLIC CONTRACT	15
4	COURSE OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE.....	19
5	COMMENCEMENT OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE.....	24
6	QUALIFICATION PHASE – APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION.....	30
7	CALL FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE	37
8	SHORTLISTING THE DESIGNS – APPROACH METHODOLOGY.....	37
9	COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE – CONCEPT.....	45
10	COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE – DRAFT DESIGN.....	57
11	BID PHASE.....	66
12	CONCLUSION OF CONTRACT.....	70
13	REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES RELATED TO PARTICIPATION IN THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE	71
14	COPYRIGHT	72
15	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES.....	73
16	OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS.....	74

1 DEFINITIONS

Copyright Act	means Act No. 121/2000 Sb., on Copyright and Related Rights and Amending Certain Acts (the Copyright Act), as amended
Architectural Study	the so-called "building design" within the meaning of the architect's standard services for Service Phase 2. of the CCA Architect's Service Standard, or a foreign equivalent corresponding in nature to the construction design in the sense of the Architect's Service Standard for Service Phase 2. of the CCA Architect's Service Standard The relevant part of the CCA Architect's Service Standard defining the architect's standard services for Service Phase 2 is set out in P03.1 of the Tender Documentation.
CCA	Czech Chamber of Architects
CCAET	Czech Chamber of Authorised Engineers and Technicians Active in Construction
Documentation	documentation for the issuance of the decision on the location of the construction (zoning permit), or project documentation for the issuance of the building permit, or documentation for the issuance of the joint permit, or project documentation for the implementation of the construction, all in accordance with the Decree, or a foreign equivalent of any of the above documentation
Documentation for the Issuance of the Decision on the Location of the Construction / ZDD	documentation for the issuance of the decision on the location of the construction pursuant to Section 1a of the Decree
Project Documentation for the Issuance of the Building Permit / BPD	project documentation for the issuance of the building permit pursuant to Section 2 of the Decree
Documentation for the Issuance of the Joint Permit	documentation for the issuance of the joint permit pursuant to Section 1d of the Decree
Project Documentation for the Performance of the Construction / CPPD (VZ-ZDS)	project documentation for the performance/ implementation of the construction pursuant to Section 3 of the Decree
contractor	identification of the party interested in participation in the Procurement Procedure up to the time of submission of the Application for Participation in the Procurement Procedure
Electronic Tool / The Contracting Authority's Profile	https://zakazky.spravazeleznic.cz/

Final Draft Design	<p>final draft design of the participant in the Procurement Procedure to the Competition Brief of the Contracting Authority</p> <p>The Final Draft Design shall be based on the Draft Design and shall respond to the recommendations provided to the participant in the Procurement Procedure on the Draft Design by the Evaluation Committee after the presentation of the Draft Design by the participant at the workshop.</p> <p>The Final Draft Design shall be submitted by the participants in the Procurement Procedure within their Bid.</p> <p>A template of the Final Draft Design shall form part of the Call for the submission of the Bid.</p>
Concept	<p>a concept of the draft design submitted by participants in the Procurement Procedure, which have been selected by the Contracting Authority (Evaluation Committee) to submit it based on the shortlisting of designs</p> <p>The Concept is the first draft design to the Contracting Authority's Competition Brief by the participant in the Procurement Procedure.</p> <p>The requirements for the content and form of the Concept are set out in paragraph 9.3 of the Tender Documentation.</p> <p>A template of the Concept forms the underlying document P16.1 and P16.2 of the Tender Documentation.</p>
Approach Methodology	<p>a draft design of the basic thematic areas of the Competition Brief accompanied by an example of participants' reference projects</p> <p>A template of the Approach Methodology forms Annex P08 to the Tender Documentation.</p>
Bid	<p>a submission of the participant in the Procurement Procedure containing, inter alia, the Final Draft Design and the participant's price offer for the performance of the subject of the Contract</p>
Draft Design	<p>draft design of the participant in the Procurement Procedure to the Competition Brief (P01) of the Contracting Authority</p> <p>The Draft Design shall be based on the Concept and shall respond to the recommendations provided to the participant in the Procurement Procedure on the Concept by the Evaluation Committee after the presentation of the Concept by the participant at the workshop.</p> <p>A template of the Draft Design shall form part of the call for the submission of the Draft Design.</p>
standard page	<p>text of 1,800 characters including spaces</p>
NOH	<p>New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station</p>
Area concerned	<p>see P02 of the Tender Documentation</p>
Contract	<p>collective designation for Contract 1, Contract 2 and Contract 3</p>
Contract 1	<p>contract for the performance of the subject of the Public Contract, the draft version of which forms Annex P17.1 to the</p>

	<p>Tender Documentation</p> <p>The final and definitive version of the draft Contract 1 shall be provided to the participants in the Competitive Dialogue along with the call for the submission of the Bid and shall account for the results of negotiations with the participants within the Procurement Procedure.</p>
Contract 2	<p>contract for the performance of the subject of the Public Contract, the draft version of which forms Annex P17.2 to the Tender Documentation</p> <p>The final and definitive version of the draft Contract 2 shall be provided to the participants in the Competitive Dialogue along with the call for the submission of the Bid and shall account for the results of negotiations with the participants within the Procurement Procedure.</p>
Contract 3	<p>contract for the performance of the subject of the Public Contract, the draft version of which forms Annex P17.3 to the Tender Documentation</p> <p>The final and definitive version of the draft Contract 3 shall be provided to the participants in the Competitive Dialogue along with the call for the submission of the Bid and shall account for the results of negotiations with the participants within the Procurement Procedure.</p>
Joint Procurement Agreement	<p>contract based on which Contracting Authority 1, Contracting Authority 2 and Contracting Authority 3 jointly award the Public Contract</p>
Competitive Dialogue / Procurement Procedure	<p>procurement procedure pursuant to Section 68 of the PPA (Competitive Dialogue Procurement Procedure), under which this above-threshold Public Contract is being awarded</p>
Competition Brief	<p>annex P01 of the Tender Documentation</p>
Competition Rules	<p>CCA Competition Rules of 24 April 1993, as amended</p>
Architect's Service Standard	<p>document "Architect's Service Standard and its documentation for designing constructions" prepared by the CCA</p> <p>The CCA's Architect's Service Standard is available here: https://www.cka.cz/cs/cka/kontakty/pracovni-skupiny/ps-honorare/2017-standard-sluzeb-architekta</p>
participant	<p>identification of the contractor in the Procurement Procedure from the phase of submission of the Application for Participation in the Procurement Procedure</p>
Public Contract	<p>this public contract being awarded under the Competitive Dialogue Procurement Procedure entitled "New Main Station District"</p>
Winning Contractor	<p>the participant, whose Bid was evaluated, in the Procurement Procedure, as the most economically advantageous Bid for the Contracting Authority, and with whom the Contracting Authority concluded or intends to conclude the Contract in the Procurement Procedure (according to the context of the Tender Documentation)</p>

Decree	decree of the Ministry of Regional Development No. 499/2006 Sb., on Documentation of Constructions, as amended
Contracting Authority	jointly Contracting Authority 1, Contracting Authority 2 and Contracting Authority 3, which are, based on the Joint Procurement Agreement, represented, in the Procurement Procedure, by Contracting Authority 3
Contracting Authority 1 / HMP	The Capital City of Prague represented by the Department of Property Management of the Capital City of Prague
Contracting Authority 2 / DPP	Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company
Contracting Authority 3 / SŽ	Správa železnic, state organisation
Tender Documentation	tender documentation prepared for the purposes of the Procurement Procedure for this Public Contract, including all annexes
Act on the Exercise of Profession	Act No. 360/1992 Sb., on the Exercise of Profession of Authorised Architects and Profession of Authorised Engineers and Technicians Active in Construction, as amended
PPA	Act No. 134/2016 Sb., on Public Procurement, as amended
Application for Participation	a document containing a participant's affidavit, by completing, signing and submitting which to the Contracting Authority via the Contracting Authority's Profile within the specified time limit, the Contractor demonstrates fulfilment of the qualification in the Procurement Procedure

2 CONTRACTING AUTHORITY, EVALUATION COMMITTEE, INVITED EXPERTS AND AUXILIARY BODIES

2.1 IDENTIFICATION DATA OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

Name: **The Capital City of Prague**
represented by the Department of Property Management of the Capital City of Prague

Registered office: Mariánské náměstí 2/2, 111 00 Prague 1
Czech Republic

Company ID No./VAT No.: 000 64 581/ CZ00064581

Represented by: Ing. Jan Rak, Director

a

Name: **Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company**

Registered office: Sokolovská 42/217, Vysočany, 190 00 Prague 9
Czech Republic

Company ID No./VAT No.: 000 05 886/ CZ00005886

Represented by: Ing. Ladislav Urbánek, Vice-Chairman of the Board of Directors
Ing. Jan Šurovský, member of the Board of Directors

a

Name: **Správa železnic, state organisation**

Registered office: Dlážděná 1003/7, 110 00 Prague 1 – Nové Město
Czech Republic

Company ID No./VAT No.: 709 94 234/ CZ70994234

Represented by: Bc. Jiří Svoboda, MBA, General Director

The aforesaid contracting authorities concluded the Public Contract Joint Procurement Agreement, pursuant to which Contracting Authority 1, Contracting Authority 2 and Contracting Authority 3 shall be represented and externally acted for, within the Procurement Procedure for the Public Contract, by:

Name: **Správa železnic, state organisation**
Registered office: Dlážděná 1003/7, 110 00 Prague 1 – Nové Město
Czech Republic
Company ID No./VAT No.: 709 94 234/ CZ70994234

2.2 ORGANISER OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

Name: HAVEL & PARTNERS s.r.o., advokátní kancelář
Registered office: Na Florenci 2116/15, Nové Město, 110 00 Prague 1, Czech Republic
Company ID No./VAT No.: 264 54 807/ CZ26454807
Represented by: Josef Hlavička, Executive

2.3 SECRETARY OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

Name: HAVEL & PARTNERS s.r.o., advokátní kancelář
Contact person: Mgr. Kamila Kulhánková
Phone/E-mail: +420 734 437 881/ kamila.kulhankova@havelpartners.cz

The main contact person for the Evaluation Committee, invited experts, contractors and participants in the Procurement Procedure.

2.4 GUARANTORS OF THE COMPETITION BRIEF, REVIEWER

GUARANTORS OF THE COMPETITION BRIEF

Guarantor of the Competition Brief in relation to the project of the HMP the project of the DPP / Project Manager in relation to the project of the HMP and the project of the DPP

1. Prague Institute of Planning and Development, contributory organisation
Company ID No.: 708 83 858, Vyšehradská 57, 128 00 Prague 2, Czech Republic

Ing. arch. Jakub Hendrych

Guarantor of the Competition Brief in relation to the project of SŽ / Project Manager in relation to the project of SŽ

2. JAKUB CIGLER ARCHITEKTI a.s.
Company ID No.: 264 89 431, Nad ostrovem 1119/7, Podolí, 147 00 Prague 4, Czech Republic

doc. Ing. arch. Jakub Cigler

REVIEWER

1. **Ing. arch. Tomáš Zdvihal** CBArchitektura platform
<http://www.cbarchitektura.cz/>

2.5 EVALUATION COMMITTEE

2.5.1 Regular members of the Evaluation Committee – independent part

1. **Dipl.-Ing. Peter Gero** Architect, urban planner
2. **Ing. arch. Jaroslav Wertig** Architect
3. **Ing. Štěpánka Šmídová** Landscape Architect, authorisation no. CCA 03543
4. **Ing. Jana Pyšková** Landscape Architect, authorisation no. CCA 04160
5. **Igor Marko MA Arch ARB** Architect
6. **Ing. arch. Zdeněk Lukeš** Architect, architectural historian

2.5.2 Regular members of the Evaluation Committee – dependent part

1. **doc. Ing. arch. Petr Hlaváček** The Capital City of Prague
First Deputy Mayor of the Capital City of Prague in charge of territorial development and zoning plan
2. **Ing. Jan Šurovský, Ph.D.** Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company
Technical Director – Surface
3. **Ing. Jan Rak** The Capital City of Prague, Department of Property Management
Director of the Department of Property Management
4. **Ing. Michal Kliský** Ministry of Transport
Ministerial Council, Department of Infrastructure and Zoning Plan
5. **Ing. Petr Hofhanzl** Správa železnic, state organisation, Stavební správa západ
Organisational Unit Director

2.5.3 Alternates to regular members – independent part of the Evaluation Committee

1. **Ing. arch. Ing. Jiří Jand'ourek** Architect, authorisation no. CCA 04859
2. **MgA. Markéta Zdebská** Architect, authorisation no. CCA 04561
3. **Ing. Milota Sidorová, Ph.D.** Urban planner
4. **Ing. arch. Mgr. Klára Brůhová, Ph.D.** Architect, architectural historian
5. **Ing. Kamila Krejčířiková, Ph.D.** Landscape Architect
6. **Ing. arch. Jan Magasanik** Architect

2.5.4 Alternates to regular members – dependent part of the Evaluation Committee

Alternates for doc. Ing. arch. Petr Hlaváček

1. **Ing. Adam Scheinherr, MSc., Ph.D.** The Capital City of Prague
Deputy Mayor of the Capital City of Prague in charge of transport and heritage conservation
2. **Ing. Marek Zďeradička** Prague Institute of Planning and Development, contributory organisation
Deputy Director for professional activities authorised engineer for transport constructions

Alternates for Ing. Jan Šurovský, Ph.D.

3. **Ing. Filip Jiřík** Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company
Project Manager
4. **Ing. Miroslav Penc, Ph.D.** Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company
head of the Tramways Unit (technical section – Surface)

Alternates for Ing. Jan Rak

5. **Ing. arch. Jakub Hendrych** Prague Institute of Planning and Development, contributory organisation
Guarantor of the Competition Brief for the project of the HMP and the project of the DPP, Architect
6. **Ing. Lukáš Tittl** Prague Institute of Planning and Development, contributory organisation
Transport Concept Specialist

Alternates for Ing. Michal Kliský

7. **JUDr. Ing. Antonín Krejčí, MBA** State Fund for Transport Infrastructure
Lawyer
8. **Ing. Pavel Paidar** Správa železnic, state organisation, General Directorate
Director of Department
Rail Modernisation Section, Construction Preparation Department

Alternates for Ing. Petr Hofhanzl

9. **doc. Ing. arch. Jakub Cigler** JAKUB CIGLER ARCHITEKTI a.s.
Guarantor of the Competition Brief for the project of SŽ, Architect
10. **Ing. Jakub Bazgier** Správa železnic, state organization,
Stavební správa západ
Deputy Director of the Organisational Unit,
Technical Section Prague

If, during the Procurement Procedure, any person who was nominated as a regular member of the dependent part of the Evaluation Committee ceases to hold their (public) office (which was the reason for their nomination as a regular member of the dependent part of the Evaluation Committee), the Contracting Authority may (taking into account the current stage of the Procurement Procedure) decide to replace that person with a person who will assume the given (public) office. If a decision is made not to replace the original regular member with a new person (pursuant to the previous sentence), the vacated regular member of the dependent part of the Evaluation Committee shall be substituted by an alternate of the dependent part of the Evaluation Committee until the end of the Procurement Procedure.

2.5.5 Invited experts

1. **Ing. Jiří Vodrážka** Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company
Head of the Traffic Organisation Department (Transport Section)
2. **Jan Ungrman** Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company
Head of the Metro Technical Coordination Department (Technical Section – Metro)
3. **Ing. Ondřej Krulikovský** Prague Public Transit Company, joint-stock company
Head of the Construction and Tracks Unit (Technical Section – Metro)
4. **Jan Korseska** Prague 2 Metropolitan District Authority
Deputy Mayor for Transport, Finance and Investment
5. **Zdeněk Horák** Prague City Hall
Crime Prevention Coordinator
6. **Ing. arch. Václav Brejška** Prague City Hall
Assistant to a long-term vacant member of the Prague City Assembly, Ing. Adam Scheinherr, Ph.D., MSc.
7. **Václav Vorlíček** Prague City Hall
Assistant to a long-term vacant member of the Prague City Assembly, doc. Ing. arch. Petr Hlaváček

- | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---|
| 8. | Ing. Ondřej Fous | Landscape Architect / Biotechnology |
| 9. | Ing. arch. Kristina Ullmannová, Ph.D. | Prague Institute of Planning and Development, contributory organisation
Head of Public Space Office
Architect, authorisation no. CCA 02988 |
| 10. | Ing. Štěpán Špoula | Prague Institute of Planning and Development, contributory organisation
Landscape Architect, authorisation no. CCA 03936 |
| 11. | Ing. Nikola Patová | The Capital City of Prague
Department of Property Management
Head of the Economic and Implementation Department |
| 12. | Bc. Vít Slabochová | The Capital City of Prague
Department of Property Management
Department of Economic and Implementation
Investment Preparation Officer |
| 13. | Ing. Ivana Síbrtová | Prague City Hall
Heritage Department
Department of State Administration of Heritage Conservation
Head of Department of State Administration of Heritage Conservation |
| 14. | Ing. Milena Andrade Dnebovská | National Heritage Institute
Specialist Regional Office in Prague
Conservationist
monuments of garden architecture and historical greenery |
| 15. | Mgr. Ludvík Klema | Regional Director of Prague 1 Municipal Police |
| 16. | Bc. Miroslav Stejskal | Deputy Director for Service Performance
Regional Directorate of Prague 1 Municipal Police
Director |
| 17. | Ing. Tomáš Slaný | Správa železnic, state organisation
O30 Security Department |
| 18. | Ing. arch. Tomáš Zdvihal | Architect |
| 19. | Mgr. Martina Hájková | Lawyer |
| 20. | Ing. Vladislav Tomčo | Správa železnic, state organisation
O31 Property Department |

- | | | |
|-----|------------------------------|---|
| 21. | Bc. Jaroslava Marcelová | Správa železnic, state organisation
Regional Directorate Prague
Department of Commercial Activities,
Commercial Property Utilisation Section |
| 22. | Mgr. Jan Kotrbáček | Cushman & Wakefield, s.r.o.
Retail Advisor |
| 23. | Ivo Suchomel | Dejsiprostor s.r.o.
Concept Development & Asset Management |
| 24. | Ing. arch. Petra Bohmová | Správa železnic, state organisation
Architect |
| 25. | Ing. Luděk Minář | Ministry of Transport
Ministerial Council, Rail Transport
Department |
| 26. | Ing. Ladislav Kubíček | State Fund for Transport Infrastructure
Head of the PD Supervision Department |
| 27. | Ing. Alex Bém, MBA | Správa železnic, state enterprise
O6 Strategy,
Head of Business Strategy Department |
| 28. | Ing. arch. Veronika Hájková | Prague City Hall
Heritage Department
Department of State Administration of
Heritage Conservation |
| 29. | Ing. arch. Jan Šimek | JAKUB CIEGLER ARCHITEKTI a.s.
Architect |
| 30. | Ing. arch. Zdeňka Gabrielová | JAKUB CIEGLER ARCHITEKTI a.s.
Architect |
| 31. | Radovan Borlovan | ACTON
Park manager under Department of Property
Management of the Capitol City of Prague |
| 32. | Ing. Libor Nedvěď | ACTON
Park manager under Department of Property
Management of the Capitol City of Prague |
| 33. | Ing. Václava Štědrá | Správa železnic, state organisation
General Directorate
System Specialist
Economic Section, Sales and Rental
Department (O31) Property Department |
| 34. | Bc. Petr Bednář | Správa železnic, state organisation
Regional Directorate
Deputy for commercial activities |

- | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|---|
| 35. | Ing. arch. Zdeněk Vöfl | Prague City Hall
Assistant to first Deputy of Mayor of the
Capitol City of Prague, doc. Ing. arch. Petr
Hlaváček |
| 36. | Ing. arch. Klára Minárech | Technická správa komunikací of Capitol City
of Prague, joint-stock company
Architect |
| 37. | doc. Ing. David Stránský, Ph.D | Specialist in rainwater management |
| 38. | Ing. Jakub Kutílek | Prague City Hall
Transport Department
Transport Concept Specialist |
| 39. | Ing. arch. Simona Müllerová | Prague 2 Metropolitan District
Environment Department
Head of Department |
| 40. | Ing. arch. Zdenka Poliačiková | National Heritage Institute
Territorial office in Prague
Specialist Department
Head of Department |
| 41. | Ing. Daniel Dlubal | Správa železnic, state organisation
Stavební správa západ
Project manager |
| 42. | Pavla Pelčíková | Prague Institute of Planning and
Development, contributory organisation
Participatory Process Specialist |

Pursuant to Section 8 (9) of the Competition Rules, the Evaluation Committee may, with the prior consent of the Contracting Authority and if the nature of the participants' submissions requires so, invite further experts to participate in its work, who shall continue to participate in those parts of the Evaluation Committee's meetings, to which they have been invited, but only in their advisory capacity.

3 SUBJECT OF THE PUBLIC CONTRACT

3.1 DEFINITION OF THE SUBJECT OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE AND THE PUBLIC CONTRACT

3.1.1 The subject and purpose of the Procurement Procedure is to obtain a Bid for an urban design of the revitalisation of Vrchlického sady Park (the **Project of the HMP/ Capital City of Prague**), a Bid for an architectural and functional/technical design of the new construction of the tramway line Muzeum – Bolzanova (the **Project of the DPP/ Prague Public Transit Company**), and an architectural draft design of the reconstruction of the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station (the **Project of SŽ**).

3.1.2 The subject of the Public Contract is the following:

a)	As regards the Project of the HMP:	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. completion of the architectural design for the revitalisation of Vrchlického sady Park and the architectural design for the new construction of the tramway line Muzeum – Bolzanova submitted in the Bid of the Winning Contractor into the form of an architectural study;2. preparation of complete underlying documents and carrying out all related activities in order to obtain the consent of the competent authorities within the environmental impact assessment (EIA) process, if required;3. preparation of the documentation for the issuance of the decision on the location of the construction for the project of Vrchlického sady Park revitalisation and the project of the new construction of the tramway line Muzeum – Bolzanova;4. engineering activities for the purpose of the issuance of the decision on the location of the construction for the project of Vrchlického sady Park revitalisation and the project of the new construction of the tramway line Muzeum – Bolzanova;5. preparation of the project documentation to the extent necessary for the issuance of a valid building permit for the project of Vrchlického sady Park revitalisation;6. engineering activities for the purpose of issuing a building permit for the project of Vrchlického sady Park revitalisation;7. preparation of the project documentation for the performance of the construction, including an acreage statement for the project of Vrchlického sady Park revitalisation;8. performance of author's supervision during the construction implementation of the project of Vrchlického sady Park revitalisation;9. elaboration of a management plan (setting up
----	------------------------------------	--

		<p>the management and development of the area);</p> <p>10. cooperation in the management and development of the park until the implementation of the park revitalisation based on the Bid from the Procurement Procedure.</p>
	As regards the Project of the DPP:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. preparation of the project documentation to the extent necessary for the issuance of a valid building permit for the project of the new construction of the tramway line Muzeum – Bolzanova; 2. engineering activities for the purpose of issuing a building permit for the project of the new construction of the tramway line Muzeum – Bolzanova; 3. preparation of the project documentation for the performance of the construction, including an acreage statement for the project of the new construction of the tramway line Muzeum – Bolzanova; 4. performance of author's supervision during the construction implementation of the project of the new construction of the tramway line Muzeum – Bolzanova.
	As regards the Project of SŽ:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. elaboration of the architectural solution for the reconstruction and completion of the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Station, including the solution of the new public space submitted in the Bid of the Winning Contractor in the form of a technical-architectural study (the primary project of SŽ is the rehabilitation of the ceiling slab); 2. preparation of the documentation for the issuance of the decision on the location of the construction for the project of reconstruction of the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station; 3. engineering activities for the purpose of issuing the decision on the location of the construction for the project of reconstruction of the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station; 4. preparation of the project documentation to the extent necessary for the issuance of a valid building permit for the project of reconstruction of the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station; 5. engineering activities for the purpose of issuing a building permit for the project of reconstruction of the New Terminal Hall of the

		<p>Prague Main Railway Station;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. preparation of the project documentation for the performance of the construction, including an acreage statement for the project of reconstruction of the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station; 7. performance of author's supervision during the construction implementation of the project of reconstruction of the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station; 8. retail concept solution; 9. design of the newly prepared modifications of the entrances from the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station to the metro; 10. designs and concepts for the layout of the space and future entrances between the NOH and New Connection 2 and the new metro line D.
--	--	--

3.1.3 With regard to the definition of the subject of the Public Contract in relation to the Project of the Capital City of Prague, the Project of the Prague Public Transit Company, and the Project of SŽ, in the event that the most advantageous Bid is selected in the Procurement Procedure for Public Contract, the Capital City of Prague, the Prague Public Transit Company and SŽ commit to conclude, with the Winning Contractor, the Contract for the performance of the subject of the Public Contract, whereby:

- a) the Capital City of Prague commits to conclude Contract 1, the subject of which shall be the performance set out in Article 3.1.2. a) of the Tender Documentation and to provide funding for the performance of this part of the Public Contract;
- b) the Prague Public Transit Company commits to conclude Contract 2, the subject of which shall be the performance set out in Article 3.1.2. b) of the Tender Documentation and to provide funding for the performance of this part of the Public Contract;
- c) SŽ commits to conclude Contract 3, the subject of which shall be the performance set out in Article 3.1.2. c) of the Tender Documentation and to provide funding for the performance of this part of the Public Contract.

3.1.4 The Contracting Authority auxiliary defines the subject of the Public Contract according to the following CPV codes:

- 71200000-0 Architectural and related services;
- 71000000-8 Architectural, construction, technical and inspection services;
- 71222000-0 Architectural services for outdoor areas
- 71320000-7 Technical design;
- 71242000-6 Design and project preparation, estimation of costs;

3.2 ESTIMATED VALUE OF THE PUBLIC CONTRACT

3.2.1 The estimated value of the Public Contract is **CZK 173,600,000** without VAT and includes:

Estimated value of the performance for the Project of the HMP	CZK 37,000,000 without VAT	determined by expert estimation within the meaning of Section 16 of the PPA
Estimated value of the performance for the Project of the DPP	CZK 15,000,000 without VAT	determined by expert estimation within the meaning of Section 16 of the PPA
Estimated value of the performance for the Project of SŽ	CZK 114,000,000 without VAT	determined by expert estimation within the meaning of Section 16 of the PPA
The amount of reimbursement of expenses to participants in the Procurement Procedure	CZK 7,600,000	for details refer to paragraph 13 of the Tender Documentation

3.2.2 The Contracting Authority draws attention to the fact that the expected value of the performance for the Project of the Capital City of Prague, the expected value of the performance for the Project of the Prague Public Transit Company, and the expected value of the performance for the Project of SŽ also represent the maximum amount of financial resources available to investors for the implementation of the Public Contract (see paragraph 11.3.3 of the Tender Documentation), and it shall thus most likely not be possible to accept a Bid from a participant which would exceed the abovementioned financial limits even in a single case. The Contracting Authority requests the participants to take note of this fact when considering their participation in the Procurement Procedure.

3.3 COMPETITION BRIEF

3.3.1 The Contracting Authority's requirements for the subject of the Public Contract are set out in the Competition Brief forming Annex **P01** to the Tender Documentation.

3.3.2 All the terms and conditions of the Contracting Authority stated in the Competition Brief are set out as recommendations and non-compliance with them is not a reason for elimination of a Concept / Draft Design/ Bid from the evaluation and for exclusion of the participant from the Procurement Procedure. The quality and comprehensiveness of the incorporation of these requirements (to the extent corresponding to the detail of the preparation of these submissions) into the Concept / Draft Design / Bid (to the extent of the Final Draft Design) shall be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria set out in the Tender Documentation.

3.3.3 The Contracting Authority and the Evaluation Committee expect that the primary effort of all participants will be to fulfil the Competition Brief in the preparation of the Concept / Draft Design / Bid in the part of the Final Draft Design to the greatest possible extent. The Contracting Authority is also convinced that all the requirements are chosen appropriately, with respect to the complexity of the area concerned, feasibility and sustainability of the entire project. However, with respect and deference to the work of the participants in the Procurement Procedure, the Contracting Authority and the Evaluation Committee have decided that all information, requirements and instructions contained in the Competition Brief are recommendations and possible non-compliance with them shall not be a reason for the exclusion of a participant from the Procurement Procedure.

3.3.4 Dealing with aspects of the subject of the Public Contract not mentioned in the Competition Brief is left to the creativity of the participants.

4 COURSE OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

4.1 PROCESS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

4.1.1 The procurement terms and conditions were discussed by the Evaluation Committee in its constitutive meeting on 10 March 2022 and subsequently approved by per rollam voting on 6 April 2022. Written confirmation of the approval is available at the Contracting Authority.

4.1.2 The CCA issued a confirmation of regularity for the procurement terms and conditions on **26 May 2022 by letter ref. no. 383-2022/DM/Ze.**

4.2 SUMMARY OF THE COURSE OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE – SUBSTANTIVE SCHEDULE

Commencement of the Procurement Procedure	The Procurement Procedure is commenced on the date of dispatch of the Notice of the Commencement of the Procurement Procedure for publication in the Public Contracts Bulletin and the Official Journal of the European Union, which is a call of the Contracting Authority to an unlimited number of contractors to submit the Application for Participation.
Qualification phase – Application for Participation	Interested parties – contractors – submit the Application for Participation to the Contracting Authority via the Contracting Authority's Profile, through which they demonstrate that they meet the qualification in the Procurement Procedure.
Call for participation in the Competitive Dialogue	The Contracting Authority shall invite the participants, which demonstrate that they meet the qualification in the Procurement Procedure, to participate in the Competitive Dialogue. Other participants shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.
Shortlisting the designs – Approach Methodology	Participants invited to participate in the Competitive Dialogue shall prepare and submit the Approach Methodology to the Contracting Authority. Based on the Approach Methodology, designs shall be shortlisted. Five participants, whose Approach Methodologies have been assessed as the most advantageous for the Contracting Authority, shall proceed to the next phase. Other participants shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.
Competitive Dialogue – Concept	The selected participants (5 participants) shall prepare and submit the Concept to the Contracting Authority. The Concept shall then be presented by the participants to the Evaluation Committee at the workshop. At the end of the presentation, the Evaluation Committee shall evaluate the participants' Concepts and shortlist the designs based on the evaluation results. Three participants, whose Concept has been evaluated as the most advantageous for the Contracting Authority, shall proceed to the next phase. Other participants shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.

	The Evaluation Committee shall also provide the three advancing participants with a written recommendation for completion of the Concept into the form of the Draft Design.
Competitive Dialogue – Draft Design	<p>The selected participants (three participants) shall prepare and submit to the Contracting Authority the Draft Design based on the Concept and responding to the recommendations of the Evaluation Committee for the completion of the Concept.</p> <p>The Draft Design shall then be presented by the participants to the Evaluation Committee at the workshop. At the end of the presentation of the Draft Designs, the Evaluation Committee shall evaluate the participants' Designs. The Evaluation Committee shall also provide the participants with a written recommendation for completion of the Draft Design into the form of the Final Draft Design.</p>
Bid phase – Bid and Design	<p>Selected participants shall prepare and submit the Bid to the Contracting Authority, which shall include the Final Draft Design and a price offer.</p> <p>The Evaluation Committee shall evaluate the Bids and rank the Bids based on the results of the evaluation.</p>
Contract conclusion	A Contract for the performance of the subject of the Public Contract shall be concluded with the participant whose Bid is evaluated as the most economically advantageous for the Contracting Authority.

4.3 SUMMARY OF THE COURSE OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE – TIME SCHEDULE

Handover of the Tender Documentation for the CCA' Preliminary Assessment	21 February 2022
Constitutive meeting of the Evaluation Committee	10 March 2022
Finalisation of the Tender Documentation after the constitutive meeting of the Evaluation Committee	Until 17 March 2022
Per rollam approval of the Tender Documentation by the Evaluation Committee	Until 6 April 2022
Issuance of the CCA' confirmation of regularity	Until 26 May 2022
Approval of the Tender Documentation by	Contracting Authority 1: until 30 May 2022

Contracting Authority 1, Contracting Authority 2 and Contracting Authority 3	Contracting Authority 2: until 30 May 2022 Contracting Authority 3: until 30 May 2022
Commencement of the Competitive Dialogue	the date of commencement of the Procurement Procedure is stated in underlying document P03
Expected date of publication of the Tender Documentation on the Contracting Authority's Profile	approx. 2 June 2022
Deadline for submission of the Application for Participation	Until 15 July 2022, by 3:00 p.m. CET (in the case of a shift of the time schedule, always at least 6 weeks after the commencement of the Competitive Dialogue)
Process of the assessment of the qualification of the participants in the Competitive Dialogue	Until 1 st August 2022
Invitation to the participants to participate in the Competitive Dialogue – to submit the Approach Methodology	Until 2 nd August 2022
Deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology	Until 2 September 2022, by 3:00 p.m. CET (in the case of a shift of the time schedule, always at least 4 weeks after the call for participants to participate in the Competitive Dialogue – to submit the Approach Methodology)
Process of the assessment of the Approach Methodology	Until 12 September 2022
Evaluation Committee meeting – assessment/evaluation of the Approach Methodology – shortlisting of designs	13 September 2022 – 14 September 2022
Sending out the protocol on the shortlisting of designs and the call for the submission of the Concept – selection of five participants	Until 22 September 2022

Tour of the competition site and introductory workshop (presentation of the Competition Brief to the participants of the Competitive Dialogue)	6 October 2022 – 7 October 2022
Deadline for submission of the Concept	Until 21 November 2022, by 3:00 p.m. CET (in the case of a shift of the time schedule, always at least 7 weeks after the date of sending out the protocol on the shortlisting of designs and the call for the submission of the Concept – selection of five participants)
Process of the assessment of the Concepts	Until 5 December 2022
Evaluation Committee meeting – presentation of the Concepts and their evaluation (workshop with the participation of the participants to present their Concept to the Evaluation Committee)	6 December 2022 – 7 December 2022
Sending out the protocol on the shortlisting of designs and the call for the submission of the Draft Design – selection of three participants	Until 21 December 2022
Deadline for submission of the Draft Design	Until 3 March 2023, by 3:00 p.m. CET (in the case of a shift of the time schedule, always at least 10 weeks after the date of sending out the protocol on the shortlisting of designs and the call for the submission of the Draft Design – selection of three participants)
Process of the assessment of the Draft Design	Until 20 March 2023

Evaluation Committee meeting – presentation of the Draft Designs and their evaluation (workshop with the participation of the participants to present their Draft Designs to the Evaluation Committee)	21 March 2023 – 22 March 2023
Sending out of the call for the submission of the Bid	Until 30 March 2023
Deadline for submission of the Bid	Until 12 May 2023, by 3:00 p.m. CET (in the case of a shift of the time schedule, always at least 6 weeks after the date of sending out the call for the submission of the Bid)
Process of the assessment of the Bid	Until 29 May 2023
Evaluation Committee meeting – evaluation of the Bid (the Final Draft Design is a part of the Bid)	30 May 2023 – 31 May 2023
Preparation of the underlying documents for the Contracting Authority to approve the results of the Procurement Procedure	Until 14 June 2023
Approval of the results of the Procurement Procedure by the Contracting Authority	Contracting Authority 1: by the end of June 2023 Contracting Authority 2: by the end of June 2023 Contracting Authority 3: by the end of June 2023
Estimated date of conclusion of the Contract	Until the end of July 2023

The above time schedule for the Procurement Procedure is only indicative; the Contracting Authority reserves the right to change it in the case of unexpected course of the Procurement Procedure. Any changes in the course of the anticipated time schedule of the Procurement Procedure shall be communicated in the form of an explanation of the Tender Documentation.

5 COMMENCEMENT OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

5.1 AVAILABILITY AND CONTENT OF THE TENDER DOCUMENTATION

5.1.1 The Tender Documentation is available on the Contracting Authority's Profile from the date of publication of the notice of the Commencement of the Procurement Procedure in the Public Contracts Bulletin and in the Official Journal of the European Union until the end of the Procurement Procedure.

5.1.2 The Tender Documentation and its annexes are provided to the participants free of charge. By taking over the Tender Documentation and its annexes, the Contractor commits to use these documents only for the decision to participate in the Procurement Procedure and for the preparation of the Concept / Draft Design / Bid.

5.1.3 For the purpose of submitting the **Application for Participation**, the Contracting Authority provides the contractors with the following underlying documents, which form an integral part of the Tender Documentation, in digital form:

P01 COMPETITION BRIEF

P01.1 GENERAL BRIEF

P01.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE CURRENT SITUATION

P02 AREA CONCERNED

P03 TEMPLATE – APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION

P03.1 RELEVANT PART OF THE ARCHITECT'S SERVICE STANDARD OF THE CZECH CHAMBER OF ARCHITECTS

P04 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF THE APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION

P05 SCHEME FOR SUBMITTING THE APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION

P06 DOCUMENTATION RELATED TO COMPETITION BRIEF

P06.1 USER PRACTICES AND NEEDS IN THE VRCHLICKÉHO SADY PARK AND THE SURROUNDINGS OF THE MAIN RAILWAY STATION IN PRAGUE - ANTHROPICTURES 2020

P06.2 ANTHROPOLOGICAL RESEARCH ON USER NEEDS AND HABITS: VRCHLICKÉHO SADY PARK AND THE SURROUNDINGS OF THE MAIN RAILWAY STATION - ANTHROPICTURES 2020

P07 PHOTO-DOCUMENTATION

5.1.4 Participants, which have demonstrated that they meet the qualification in the Procurement Procedure, shall be sent a call by the Contracting Authority to participate in the Competitive Dialogue, which shall contain the following underlying documents for the purpose of submitting the **Approach Methodology**:

P08 TEMPLATE – APPROACH METHODOLOGY, INCLUDING A TEMPLATE OF THE CONTACT AND AUTHOR DOCUMENT

P09 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF THE APPROACH METHODOLOGY

P10 SCHEME FOR SUBMITTING THE APPROACH METHODOLOGY

The Contracting Authority reserves the right to provide the participants concerned, together with the call to participate in the Competitive Dialogue, also with additional competition underlying documents beyond the list of the underlying documents mentioned above.

5.1.5 Participants, which have been selected for further participation in the Procurement Procedure after the shortlisting of designs and have thus been sent a call for the submission of the Concept, shall be provided by the Contracting Authority, together with this call, with additional competition underlying documents **for the purpose of submitting the Concept:**

- P11 MAP UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS
 - P11.1 CADASTRAL MAP
 - P11.2 GEODETIC SURVEY OF THE AREA CONCERNED
 - P11.3 GEODETIC SURVEY OF THE NEW TERMINAL HALL OF THE PRAGUE MAIN RAILWAY STATION
- P12 PHOTO-DOCUMENTATION OF THE CURRENT STATE
 - P12.1 PHOTO-DOCUMENTATION OF THE WALKER'S PERSPECTIVE (for mandatory drawings)
 - P12.2 OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN BY A DRONE (for mandatory drawings)
 - P12.3 ORTHO-PHOTO-MAPS
- P13 HISTORICAL RESOURCES AND ANALYSES
 - P13.1 PEDESTRIANS/CYCLISTS CENSUS
 - P13.2 DENDROLOGICAL SURVEY
 - P13.3 ANTHROPOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION
 - P13.4 HISTORICAL BUILDING SURVEY OF THE AREA (PARK + NOH)
- P14 URBAN PLANNING UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS AND DOCUMENTATION
 - P14.1 AREA USE LIMITATIONS
 - P14.2 TECHNICAL INFRASTRUCTURE
 - P14.3 ZONING PLAN OF THE CAPITAL CITY OF PRAGUE
 - P14.4 METROPOLITAN PLAN OF THE CAPITAL CITY OF PRAGUE
 - P14.5 PRAGUE BUILDING REGULATIONS
- P15 TECHNICAL UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS, DOCUMENTATION OF RELATED PROJECTS AND PLANS, MANUALS AND CONCEPTS
 - P15.1 UNDERLYING STUDY OF THE TRAMWAY LINE MUSEUM – BOLZANOVA FOR THE CHANGE OF THE ZONING PLAN
 - P15.2 DRAWING DOCUMENTATION OF THE NEW TERMINAL HALL OF THE PRAGUE MAIN RAILWAY STATION
 - P15.3 STUDY OF THE FANTA BUILDING'S FORECOURT / RECONSTRUCTION OF THE CEILING SLAB OF THE NOH / STAGE I.
 - P15.4 PUBLIC SPACES CREATION MANUAL
 - P15.5 DOCUMENTATION TT WENCESLAS SQUARE + TT MUSEUM
 - P15.6 UNDERLYING DOCUMENT TO METRO D + METRO S
 - P15.7 DRAWINGS OF THE METRO STATIONS HLAVNÍ NÁDRAŽÍ AND MUSEUM A/C + CONNECTING TUNNELS IN THE FLORENC–MUZEUM SECTION

- P15.8 DOCUMENTATION OF THE EXISTING TT IN OPLETALOVA STREET
- P16 UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS – CONCEPT
 - P16.1 TEMPLATE – CONCEPT (GRAPHIC PART)
 - P16.2 TEMPLATE – CONCEPT (TEXT PART)
 - P16.3 UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS FOR VISUALISATION AND AXONOMETRY
 - P16.4 TEMPLATE – LIST OF MEMBERS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION TEAM
 - P16.5 TEMPLATE – CONTACT AND AUTHOR DOCUMENT
 - P16.6 TEMPLATE – TAX DOMICILE DECLARATION
 - P16.7 SCHEME FOR SUBMITTING THE CONCEPT
 - P16.8 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF THE CONCEPT
- P17 CONTRACT
 - P17.1 DRAFT CONTRACT 1 FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT PERFORMANCE
 - P17.2 DRAFT CONTRACT 2 FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT PERFORMANCE
 - P17.3 DRAFT CONTRACT 3 FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT PERFORMANCE
- 5.1.6 For the purpose of submitting the Concepts, the Contracting Authority reserves the right to provide the participants concerned also with additional competition underlying documents beyond the list of the underlying documents mentioned above.
- 5.1.7 Participants, which have been selected for further participation in the Procurement Procedure after the shortlisting of designs and have thus been sent a call for the submission of the Draft Designs, shall be provided by the Contracting Authority, together with this call, with additional competition underlying documents **for the purpose of submitting the Draft Design:**
- P18 UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS – DRAFT DESIGN
 - P18.1 TEMPLATE – DRAFT DESIGN (GRAPHIC PART)
 - P18.2 TEMPLATE – DRAFT DESIGN (TEXT PART)
 - P18.3 UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS FOR VISUALISATION AND AXONOMETRY
 - P18.4 TEMPLATE – CONTACT AND AUTHOR DOCUMENT
 - P18.5 TEMPLATE – BALANCE TABLES
 - P18.6 TEMPLATE – NON-BINDING OFFER PRICE FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PUBLIC CONTRACT
 - P18.7 TEMPLATE – TAX DOMICILE DECLARATION
 - P18.8 SCHEME FOR SUBMITTING THE DRAFT DESIGN
 - P18.9 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF THE DRAFT DESIGN
- 5.1.8 For the purpose of submitting the Draft Design, the Contracting Authority reserves the right to provide the participants concerned also with additional competition underlying documents beyond the list of the underlying documents mentioned above.

5.1.9 The list of underlying documents for the preparation of the Bid shall be provided to the participants together with the call for the preparation of the Bid.

5.2 **EXPLANATION OF THE TENDER DOCUMENTATION (ENQUIRES) PRIOR TO SUBMITTING THE APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION**

5.2.1 The Contractor is entitled to request explanations of the Tender Documentation from the Contracting Authority in the Czech (with Slovak being deemed on equal footing with Czech) or English language.

5.2.2 Requests should be sent via the Contracting Authority's Profile (or by e-mail to: kamila.kulhankova@havelpartners.cz).

5.2.3 Explanations of the Tender Documentation are published by the Contracting Authority on a continuous basis on the Contracting Authority's Profile, and the Contracting Authority recommends that all contractors, which intend to participate in the Procurement Procedure, follow these explanations on the Contracting Authority's Profile.

5.2.4 The Contracting Authority may amend the procurement terms and conditions contained in this Tender Documentation before the expiry of the deadline for individual submissions in accordance with Section 99 of the PPA and may also submit the explanation of the Tender Documentation without a prior request of a contractor.

THE FOLLOWING RULES APPLY TO THE DEADLINES FOR EXPLANATION OF THE TENDER DOCUMENTATION:

5.2.5 EXPLANATION OF THE TENDER DOCUMENTATION PRIOR TO SUBMITTING THE APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION

(i.e. if the questioner's queries relate to the qualification in the Procurement Procedure or otherwise relate to the submission of the Application for Participation)

authorised questioner	any contractor interested in participating in the Procurement Procedure
deadline for submitting the request	at the latest by: 4 July 2022, by midnight CET - provided that the deadline for submission of the Application for Participation remains unchanged – 15 July 2022 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Application for Participation, the deadline for submitting the application for explanation shall always be no later than eight working days prior to the deadline for submission of the Application for Participation
the latest date for publication of responses from the Contracting Authority	at the latest by: 7 July 2022, by midnight CET - provided that the deadline for submission of the Application for Participation remains unchanged – 15 July 2022 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Application for Participation, the Contracting Authority is entitled to publish the last responses always five working days before the deadline for submission of the Application for Participation at the latest

5.2.6 EXPLANATION OF THE SUBSTANTIVE PART OF THE TENDER DOCUMENTATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING THE APPROACH METHODOLOGY:

authorised questioner	participant, which has been invited to submit the Approach Methodology
deadline for submitting the request	<p>at the latest by: 22 August 2022, by midnight CET</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provided that the deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology remains unchanged – 2 September 2022 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology, the deadline for submitting the application for explanation shall always be no later than eight working days prior to the deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology
the latest date for publication of responses from the Contracting Authority	<p>at the latest by: 25 August 2022, by midnight CET</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provided that the deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology remains unchanged – 2 September 2022 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology, the deadline for submitting the application for explanation shall always be no later than five working days prior to the deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology

5.2.7 EXPLANATION OF THE SUBSTANTIVE PART OF THE TENDER DOCUMENTATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING THE CONCEPT:

authorised questioner	participant, which has been invited to submit the Concept
deadline for submitting the request	<p>at the latest by: 8 November 2022, by midnight CET</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provided that the deadline for submission of the Concept remains unchanged – 21 November 2022 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Concept, the deadline for submitting the application for explanation shall be no later than eight working days prior to the deadline for submission of the Concept
the latest date for publication of responses from the Contracting Authority	<p>at the latest by: 11 November 2022, by midnight CET</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provided that the deadline for submission of the Concept remains unchanged – 21 November 2022 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Concept, the deadline for submitting the application for explanation shall always be no later than five working days prior to the deadline for submission of the Concept

5.2.8 EXPLANATION OF THE SUBSTANTIVE PART OF THE TENDER DOCUMENTATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING THE DRAFT DESIGN:

authorised questioner	participant, which has been invited to submit the Draft Design
deadline for submitting the request	<p>at the latest by: 20 February 2023, by midnight CET</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provided that the deadline for submission of the Draft Design remains unchanged – 3 March 2023 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Draft Design, the deadline for submitting the application for explanation shall always be no later than eight working days prior to the deadline for submission of the Draft Design
the latest date for publication of responses from the Contracting Authority	<p>at the latest by: 23 February 2023, by midnight CET</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provided that the deadline for submission of the Draft Design remains unchanged – 3 March 2023 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Draft Design, the deadline for submitting the application for explanation shall always be no later than five working days prior to the deadline for submission of the Draft Design

5.2.9 EXPLANATION OF THE SUBSTANTIVE PART OF THE TENDER DOCUMENTATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING THE BID:

authorised questioner	participant, which has been invited to submit the Bid
deadline for submitting the Request	<p>at the latest by: 1 May 2023, by midnight CET</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provided that the deadline for submission of the Bid remains unchanged – 12 May 2023 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Bid, the deadline for submitting the Application for Explanation shall always be no later than eight working days prior to the deadline for submission of the Bid
the latest date for publication of responses from the Contracting Authority	<p>at the latest by: 4 May, by midnight CET</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provided that the deadline for submission of the Bid remains unchanged – 12 May 2023 - in the case of any change in the deadline for submission of the Bid, the deadline for submitting the Application for Explanation shall always be no later than five working days prior to the deadline for submission of the Bid

6 QUALIFICATION PHASE – APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION

Interested parties submit the Application for Participation to the Contracting Authority via the Contracting Authority's Profile, through which they demonstrate that they meet the qualification in the Procurement Procedure.

6.1 CONDITIONS FOR QUALIFICATION

The conditions for qualification in the Procurement Procedure are met by natural persons and legal entities, or their companies, which demonstrate meeting the conditions below:

6.1.1 BASIC ELIGIBILITY ACCORDING TO THE COMPETITION RULES

The conditions of eligibility (qualification) in the Procurement Procedure are fulfilled by natural persons and legal entities, or their companies, which demonstrate that none of the authors or co-authors of the Approach Methodology/ Concept/ Draft Design/ Bid and their collaborators participating in the preparation of the Approach Methodology/ Concept/ Draft Design/ Bid, and in the case of legal entities also none of the governing bodies or members of such governing bodies:

- a) directly participated in the preparation of the Tender Documentation, the Competition Brief or any part thereof, except for persons who prepared the map or analytical underlying documents;
- b) is a regular or alternate member of the jury (Evaluation Committee) or an invited expert, or an organiser of the Procurement Procedure;
- c) is a spouse/registered partner, relative, first-level brother-in-law or sister-in-law, permanent project partner, immediate superior or direct collaborator of the persons listed under points 1. and 2. above;
- d) is a member of the Contracting Authority's self-governing bodies or an employee of the Contracting Authority's offices, or of legal entities established by the Contracting Authority, that participated in the negotiation and approval of the procurement terms and conditions, the Competition Brief, or shall participate in the negotiation and approval of the results of the Competitive Dialogue, the negotiation about the conclusion of the Contract and the approval of the conclusion of the Contract.

6.1.2 BASIC ELIGIBILITY PURSUANT TO THE PPA

The conditions for eligibility (qualification) in the Procurement Procedure are met by natural persons and legal entities, or their companies, which demonstrate that they meet the basic eligibility to the extent defined under Section 74 of the PPA.

The requirements for meeting the basic eligibility to the extent defined under Section 74 of the PPA are specified in more detail in Annex **P03** of the Tender Documentation.

6.1.3 PROFESSIONAL ELIGIBILITY PURSUANT TO THE PPA

The conditions for eligibility (qualification) in the Procurement Procedure are met by natural persons and legal entities, or their companies, which demonstrate that:

- a) in relation to the Czech Republic they meet the professional eligibility to the extent defined under Section 77(1) of the PPA, i.e., they are registered in the Commercial Register or another similar register if another legal regulation requires registration in such a register (this does not apply to natural persons and their companies, and to legal entities with their registered office in a country where such register is not required);
- b) within the meaning of Section 77(2)(a) of the PPA they have a certificate of business license to the extent corresponding to the subject of the Public Contract, i.e. hold a trade license for the field "Design Work in Construction" pursuant to Act No. 455/1991 Sb.,

on Trade Licencing (Trade Licencing Act), as amended (this does not apply to persons who perform the work of a freelance architect as freelance architects or freelance engineers under the Act on the Exercise of Profession, and to natural persons and legal entities with their residence or registered office, respectively, in a country where such licence is not required);

- c) within the meaning of Section 77(2)(c) of the PPA they have a document certifying the professional eligibility of the participant or the person through whom the participant ensures professional eligibility, i.e. they specifically submit:
- **the CCA' authorisation with general scope and the numerical designation A.0** within the meaning of Section 4(3) of the Act on the Exercise of Profession, **or the CCA' authorisation for the field of architecture and with the numerical designation A.1 or a foreign equivalent** within the meaning of Section 4(2)(a) of the Act on the Exercise of Profession (except where no such document is issued under the law of the country in which the architect concerned has their registered office or is a citizen); and
 - **the CCA' authorisation for the field of landscape architecture and with the numerical designation A.3** within the meaning of Section 4(2)(c) of the Act on the Exercise of Profession **or a foreign equivalent** (except where no such document is issued under the law of the country in which the architect concerned has their registered office or is a citizen).

6.1.4 TECHNICAL QUALIFICATION PURSUANT TO THE PPA

The conditions for qualification in the Procurement Procedure are met by natural persons and legal entities, or their companies, which demonstrate that they meet the technical qualification to the extent of Section 79(2)(b)(c)(d) of the PPA, i.e. if they submit a list of members of the implementation team, which clearly shows that they have an **implementation team consisting of two to three architects** (natural persons), that will participate in the preparation of the Approach Methodology/ Concept/ Draft Design / Final Draft Design, and in the case that the participant is assigned to perform the Contract, then also in the performance of the Public Contract, whereby:

- A. **at least one of the architects must be the authorised person** pursuant to Section 4(2)(a) of the Act on the Exercise of Profession or the authorised person pursuant to Section 4(3) of the Act on the Exercise of Profession, or the authorised person according to the law of the state, the citizen of which they are or in which they reside, i.e. **the CCA' authorisation with general scope and the numerical designation A.0 is required, or the CCA' authorisation for the field of architecture and numerical designation A.1, or a foreign equivalent thereof** (except for cases where, under the law of the country, in which the architect resides or which the architect is a citizen of, such document is not issued);
- B. **at least one of the architects must be the authorised person** pursuant to Section 4(2)(c) of the Act on the Exercise of Profession or the authorised person according to the law of the state, the citizen of which they are or in which they reside, i.e. **the CCA' authorisation for the field of landscape architecture and with numerical designation A.3 is required, or a foreign equivalent thereof** (except for cases where, under the law of the country, in which the architect resides or which the architect is a citizen of, such document is not issued);

and at the same time

- C. **any of the architects forming the participant's implementation team has prepared (as author or co-author) or participated in the preparation, as lead architect or responsible designer, of the following reference contracts:**

- 1) **at least 1 Architectural study or Documentation of public space (e.g. squares, embankments, streets, marketplaces, pavements, parks, parking lots and other spaces accessible to everyone without restriction, i.e. serving general use, regardless of ownership of the space), whereby:**
 - **the public space had an area of at least 1,000 m²;**
 - **the public space, for which the member of the implementation team prepared the Architectural Study or the Documentation, was constructed between 1 January 2000 and the time of the commencement of this Procurement Procedure;**
- 2) **at least one Architectural study or Documentation of a new building (set of new buildings), reconstruction/reconstruction with an extension of a Building or Hall of the Civil Construction, where:**
 - **the Building or Hall of the Civil Construction, for which the member of the implementation team prepared the Architectural Study or the Documentation, was constructed between 1 January 2000 and the time of the commencement of this Procurement Procedure.**

NOTE: Definition of the term "Architectural Study", definition of the term "Documentation" are stated in the definitions in the introductory part of the Tender Documentation.

Additional conditions to the list of reference contracts under A. - C.:

- 1) **The Contracting Authority does not allow the requirement under points A. and B. to be demonstrated by one and the same person from the participant's implementation team; i.e., it is required that one person from the implementation team demonstrates compliance with point A. and another person from the implementation team demonstrates compliance with point B.**
- 2) If the participant's implementation team consists of more than two architects (however, a maximum of three natural persons), then the requirements according to points A. – C. above may be demonstrated by these persons jointly, i.e. e.g. one architect demonstrates the requirement according to points A. and C.2, the second architect demonstrates the requirement according to point B. and the third architect demonstrates the requirement according to point C.1 (note of the Contracting Authority: this is only an exemplary list of how the technical qualification may be demonstrated by members of the participant's implementation team).
- 3) Reference contracts under points C1 and C2 must not be demonstrated by a single project; two separate projects must be submitted.
- 4) The public space, for which the Architectural Study or the Documentation according to point C.1 was prepared, must have been constructed between 1 January 2000 and the time of commencement of this Procurement Procedure (the date of the commencement of the Procurement Procedure is stated in underlying document P03).

The fact that the public space was constructed is demonstrated by the participant by the issued valid approval decision or occupancy permit, or a foreign equivalent of such a document, on the basis of which the construction can be used for the purpose for which it was designed, or in the case that the public space was constructed, but has not yet been issued the above documents, then by submitting photographs showing the completed construction implementation.

- 5) The Building or the Hall of the Civil Construction, for which the Architectural Study or the

Documentation according to point C.2 was prepared, must have been constructed between 1 January 2000 and the time of commencement of this Procurement Procedure (the date of the commencement of the Procurement Procedure is stated in underlying document P03).

The construction implementation means that a valid approval decision or occupancy permit, or a foreign equivalent of such a document, has been issued for the given construction, on the basis of which the construction can be used for the purpose for which it was designed.

6.1.5 NOTICE OF AN ADDITIONAL QUALIFICATION CONDITION

The Contracting Authority draws attention to the fact that together with the submission of the Application for Participation, the participant will be obliged to submit a signed declaration that the participant (or participant's subcontractor, if any, demonstrating compliance with the conditions for participation in the Procurement Procedure) is not a person subject to international sanctions against Russia and Belarus in connection with the situation in Ukraine. This declaration forms Annex **P03** to the Tender Documentation.

The Contracting Authority also draws the attention of the participants to the fact that those participants, which will be called to submit the Concept, shall be obliged to submit also an extended list of the members of the implementation team within the Concept (beyond paragraph 6.1.4 of the Tender Documentation), in accordance with paragraph 9.5 of the Tender Documentation (requirements for the person of the lead tram superstructure specialist and the lead overhead contact line specialist).

6.2 **DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE QUALIFICATION CONDITIONS IN THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE**

6.2.1 **For the purpose of demonstrating the fulfilment of the qualification in the Procurement Procedure, the submission of a signed affidavit, which forms Annex **P03** of the Tender Documentation, is sufficient.**

6.2.2 If two or more natural persons submit the Application for Participation jointly, each of these persons must meet the conditions set out in paragraphs 6.1.1 – 6.1.2 of the Tender Documentation separately and make a declaration of compliance with these conditions (see Annex **P03** to the Tender Documentation); the fulfilment of the other conditions is demonstrated jointly by these natural persons.

6.2.3 If two or more legal entities submit the Application for Participation jointly, each of these entities must meet the conditions set out in paragraphs 6.1.1 – 6.1.3 of the Tender Documentation separately and make a declaration of compliance with these conditions (see Annex **P03** to the Tender Documentation); the fulfilment of the other conditions is demonstrated jointly by these legal entities.

6.2.4 If two or more natural persons or legal entities submit the Application for Participation jointly (within the meaning of paragraph 6.2.2 or 6.2.3 of the Tender Documentation), it is required that the jointly submitted Application for Participation includes also a contract of association of these contractors submitting the joint Application for Participation, in which the associating contractors commit to be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the subject of the Public Contract.

6.2.5 *The Contracting Authority informs the contractors that according to the PPA, the contractors may demonstrate their qualification in the Procurement Procedure directly by submitting documents of qualification in plain copies or by the Single European Certificate for Public Contracts (Section 87 PPA). The Contracting Authority notes, that it shall require the Winning Contractor to submit originals or certified copies of the qualification documents prior to the conclusion of the Contract if these have not already been submitted in the Procurement Procedure (i.e. if the participant now uses the option to demonstrate the qualification by submitting an affidavit, the Winning Contractor must subsequently submit originals or certified documents to demonstrate the qualification prior to the conclusion of the Contract).*

Contractors may, in order to demonstrate their principal eligibility and professional competence, submit an extract from the list of qualified contractors pursuant to Section 228 of the PPA. Besides the extract from the list of qualified contractors, the Contractor may also demonstrate its qualification by a certificate that originates from another Member State where the Contractor is established and that is equivalent to the extract from the list of qualified contractors. Contractors may submit a certificate issued within the system of certified contractors pursuant to Section 234 of the PPA to prove their qualification. Besides the certificate, the Contractor may also demonstrate its qualification by a certificate that originates from another Member State where the Contractor is established and that is equivalent to the certificate issued under the system of certified contractors.

Documents demonstrating principal eligibility and extract from the Commercial Register or other similar official records must demonstrate compliance with the required eligibility criterion no later than 3 months before the date of commencement of the Procurement Procedure.

A foreign contractor demonstrates fulfilment of the qualification in the manner pursuant to Section 81 of the PPA by documents issued under the laws of the country in which the qualification has been obtained, and to the extent required by the Contracting Authority. An extract from the Criminal Record in the Czech Republic is issued by the Criminal Record. The certificate of tax arrears of foreign contractors in the Czech Republic is issued by the Tax Office for Prague 1 and the certificate of arrears of foreign contractors in the Czech Republic in insurance premiums and penalties for social security and state employment policy contributions is issued by the Prague Social Security Administration.

- 6.2.6 The participant is entitled to demonstrate compliance with the qualification pursuant to paragraph 6.1.4 of the Tender Documentation through reference contracts provided by a given member of the participant's team:
- a) together with other contractors, to the extent in which the member themselves participated in the performance of the reference contract, or
 - b) as a subcontractor, to the extent in which the member participated in the performance of the reference contract.
- 6.2.7 The participant is entitled to demonstrate meeting the qualification under par. 6.1.4 of the Tender Documentation through another person (subcontractor). However, this other person must be involved in the preparation of the Approach Methodology/Concept/Draft Design/Final Draft Design and, in the case of awarding the Public Contract to this participant, such person must also be involved in the actual performance of the Public Contract.

In such case, the participant is obliged to submit to the Contracting Authority in accordance with Section 83(1) of the PPA:

- 1) documents demonstrating fulfilment of the professional eligibility in accordance with the provisions of Section 77(1) of the PPA by another person (for the purpose of submitting the Application for Participation, part **P03** can be used analogously to demonstrate compliance with the professional eligibility by another person),
- 2) documents demonstrating fulfilment of the missing part of the qualification through another person,
- 3) documents demonstrating fulfilment the basic eligibility in accordance with the provisions of Section 74 of the PPA by another person (for the purpose of submitting the Application for Participation, part **P03** can be used analogously to demonstrate compliance with the basic eligibility by another person), and
- 4) a written commitment of the other person to provide performance intended for the performance of the Public Contract, or to provide rights or items that the participant shall be entitled to use within the performance of the Public Contract, at least to the

extent in which the other person has demonstrated qualification on behalf of the participant. In this context under the provisions of Section 83(2) of the PPA, where the Contractor demonstrates the qualification through another person and submits documents with respect to such person pursuant to Section 79(2)(b) of the PPA, the written commitment must include a commitment that the other person will perform the services to which the qualification criterion being demonstrated relates.

- 6.2.8 The Contracting Authority also notes that if the participant demonstrates compliance with the qualification pursuant to paragraph 6.1.4 of the Tender Documentation **by a subcontractor, this subcontractor cannot be a participant in the Procurement Procedure itself (it cannot submit the Application for Participation, even in association with another participant).**

6.3 SUBMITTING THE APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION

- 6.3.1 Contractors interested in participating in the Procurement Procedure shall submit to the Contracting Authority the Application for Participation, in which they demonstrate that they meet the qualification for the Procurement Procedure.
- 6.3.2 The Application for Participation may be submitted in Czech or English.
- 6.3.3 Each Contractor is entitled to submit only one Application for Participation in the Procurement Procedure.
- 6.3.4 The Application for Participation shall be submitted by the contractors in the form of a completed and signed form of the Application for Participation, which forms Annex **P03** to the Tender Documentation. If the Contractor chooses another document for the purpose of submitting the Application for Participation and demonstrating that it meets the qualification in the Procurement Procedure within the scope of paragraphs 6.1.1 – 6.1.4 of the Tender Documentation, this shall not be a reason for its exclusion from participation in the Procurement Procedure. However, the Contracting Authority recommends that the contractors use the template according to **P03** of the Tender Documentation for the purpose of submitting the Application for Participation.
- 6.3.5 Contractors shall submit their Application for Participation by the deadline for submission of the Applications for Participation electronically, via the Contracting Authority's Profile. The Contracting Authority does not accept the Application for Participation submitted by any other means than through the Contracting Authority's Profile; if the Application for Participation is submitted by the Contractor to the Contracting Authority by any other means, it shall not be accepted in the Procurement Procedure and shall be treated as if it has not been submitted.
- 6.3.6 The deadline for submission of the Application for Participation is: **15 July 2022, by 3:00 p.m. CET.**
- 6.3.7 The Contracting Authority draws the attention of the Contractor to the fact that in order to submit the Application for Participation in electronic form, the participants must be registered in the Contracting Authority's Profile. The Contracting Authority notes that the registration process may take several working days. The Contracting Authority strongly recommends that contractors complete the registration process in the Contracting Authority's Profile as early as possible. At the same time, the Contracting Authority recommends that contractors do not delay the submission of the Application for Participation until the very deadline for submission of the Application for Participation (due to potential technical complications).
- 6.3.8 The Contracting Authority recommends submitting the Application for Participation in electronic form in accordance with Annex **P04** of the Tender Documentation.

6.4 CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO MEET THE QUALIFICATION CONDITIONS IN THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE, EXPLANATION OF THE QUALIFICATION

- 6.4.1 After the deadline for submission of Application for Participation, the organiser of the Procurement Procedure shall assess the fulfilment of the qualification of each participant in the Procurement Procedure.
- 6.4.2 The Contracting Authority shall exclude from participation in the Procurement Procedure a participant, which fails to demonstrate compliance with the qualification conditions.
- 6.4.3 The organiser of the Procurement Procedure is entitled to request the participant, which submitted the Application for Participation, to complete or explain the information or documents submitted in order to demonstrate compliance with the qualification. A reasonable time limit shall be set for the participant to explain or complete the requested information or documents.
- 6.4.4 If the participant does not explain or complete the required information or documents in order to demonstrate compliance with the conditions within the time limit set, or if the participant does not do so in full extent, the participant shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure by the Contracting Authority.

7 CALL FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE

7.1 CALL FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE

- 7.1.1 Participants, which have demonstrated compliance with the qualification conditions in the Procurement Procedure, shall be sent a call, by the Contracting Authority via the Contracting Authority's Profile, to participate in the Competitive Dialogue.
- 7.1.2 On the basis of the call to participate in the Competitive Dialogue, the participants submit the so-called Approach Methodology to the Contracting Authority.
- 7.1.3 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to conduct the Competitive Dialogue in several phases in order to shortlist the designs, which shall be further discussed by the Contracting Authority in accordance with Section 69(4) of the PPA in conjunction with Section 112 of the PPA. The shortlisting of designs shall be made on the basis of the evaluation of the information provided by the participants in the Approach Methodology.
- 7.1.4 **On the basis of the shortlisting of designs, five participants shall be selected and subsequently invited to submit the Concept.**
- 7.1.5 No shortlisting of designs shall be made if only five or fewer participants demonstrate compliance with the qualification conditions in the Procurement Procedure. In this case, all such participants shall be directly invited to submit the Concepts – see paragraph 9.1 of the Tender Documentation.

8 SHORTLISTING OF DESIGNS – APPROACH METHODOLOGY

8.1 APPROACH METHODOLOGY

- 8.1.1 The Approach Methodology is a document in which participants describe their proposed approach to addressing the following aspects of the area concerned: **1. Professional approach to urban and architectural design; 2. Professional approach to landscape design; 3. Professional approach to transport solution**, whereby in designing the professional approaches, the participants shall follow the Competition Brief (**P01** of the Tender Documentation) and take into account the preferences of the Contracting Authority according to paragraph 8.3.2 of the Tender Documentation.
- 8.1.2 In addition to the professional approaches, the Approach Methodology shall include also illustrations of three reference contracts, and on these reference contracts, participants shall describe in the Approach Methodology how they previously applied the principles they propose in the Approach Methodology to their three reference contracts. Reference contracts present in Approach Methodology must be the reference contracts in which participant, or the architects according to paragraph 6.1.4 of Tender Documentation, have participated. Reference contracts in which the persons according to paragraph 9.1.5. of Tender Documentation have participated are also allowed. In such a case, however, the participant selected to submit the Concept together with Concept shall provide that the persons whose reference contracts have been presented by the participant in the Approach Methodology will participate in the performance of the Public Contract on behalf of the Participant in the positions according to paragraph 9.5.1 of the Tender Documentation.

8.2 SUBMISSION OF THE APPROACH METHODOLOGY

- 8.2.1 **The Approach Methodology shall be submitted by the participants in the form of a completed and signed Approach Methodology template, which forms Annex P08 to the Tender Documentation.**
- 8.2.2 If the participant chooses a different document for the purpose of submitting the Approach Methodology, this is not a reason to exclude the participant from participation in the

Procurement Procedure; however, the participant must maintain the scope of the illustration of the professional approaches (maximum three one-sided A4 pages, Arial font, size 11) and the reference contracts (each reference contract maximum three one-sided A4 pages) and submit together with the Approach Methodology the "contact and author" document, including the licensing agreements for the Approach Methodology (within the meaning of paragraph 14.1 of the Tender Documentation). **Nevertheless, the Contracting Authority strongly recommends that the participants use the template as per P08 of the Tender Documentation, for the sake of comparability of the Approach Methodologies.**

8.2.3 **Each participant is entitled to submit only one Approach Methodology in the Procurement Procedure.**

A participant, which submits more than one Approach Methodology, shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure. A participant, which has submitted the Approach Methodology to the Procurement Procedure on its own, may not simultaneously submit another Approach Methodology jointly with another participant. In this situation, the Contracting Authority shall exclude from participation in the Procurement Procedure both the participant in relation to the separately submitted Approach Methodology and the Contractor, with whom the participant has teamed up in order to submit another Approach Methodology.

8.2.4 The Approach Methodologies shall be submitted in Czech or English; in the case of submission of the Approach Methodology in English, the participant shall also submit a translation of the Approach Methodology into the Czech language, indicating which of the submitted language versions is the primary version.

8.2.5 **Participants shall submit the Approach Methodology electronically via the Contracting Authority's Profile within the deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology.** The Contracting Authority shall not accept the submission of the Approach Methodology in any other way than through the Contracting Authority's Profile; if the Approach Methodology is submitted by a participant to the Contracting Authority in any other way, the participant shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.

8.2.6 **The deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology is: 2 September 2022, by 3:00 p.m. CET.**

8.2.7 The Contracting Authority recommends submitting the Approach Methodology in electronic form in accordance with Annex **P09** of the Tender Documentation.

8.3 **SHORTLISTING THE DESIGNS**

8.3.1 When shortlisting the designs, the Contracting Authority, or the Evaluation Committee, shall evaluate the professional approach of the participant to urban and architectural design, landscape design and transport solution as specified in the Approach Methodology and supported by a description of the participant's reference contracts under the criterion of **Quality of the proposed design.**

8.3.2 The evaluation criterion Quality of the proposed design is divided into the following sub-criteria:

	SUB-CRITERION	EVALUATED PARAMETER	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S PREFERENCES
1.	Quality of the proposed urban and architectural design	<p>Professional approach to urban and architectural design as described by the participant in the Approach Methodology</p> <p>Principles of urban and architectural design used by the participant in the reference contracts</p>	<p>The participant shall respond in the professional approach to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation).</p> <p>This sub-criterion shall assess the quality and appropriateness of the proposed urban and architectural design.</p> <p>The quality and appropriateness of the proposed urban and architectural design is understood as the creation of functional, clear, safe and comprehensible spaces. A design, which offers a suitable functional revitalisation and connection between various levels of the area concerned, as well as strengthening its links to the wider surroundings, shall be considered of a higher quality.</p>
2.	Quality of the proposed landscape design	<p>Professional approach to landscape design described by the participant in the Approach Methodology</p> <p>Principles of landscape design used by the participant in the reference contracts</p>	<p>The participant shall respond in the professional approach to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation).</p> <p>This sub-criterion shall assess the quality and appropriateness of the proposed landscape design.</p> <p>The quality and appropriateness of the proposed landscape design is understood as the creation of functional, clear, safe and comprehensible spaces, its appropriate integration into the context, its appropriateness and effectiveness in relation to the site.</p> <p>A proposed landscape design, which creates adequate conditions for enhancing biodiversity and nature in the city in proportion to the site and responds to current climate change, shall be evaluated better.</p>

<p>3. Quality of the proposed transport solution</p>	<p>Professional approach to the transport solution described by the participant in the Approach Methodology</p> <p>Principles of the transport solution used by the participant in the reference contracts</p>	<p>The participant shall respond in the professional approach to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation).</p> <p>This sub-criterion shall assess the quality and appropriateness of the proposed transport solution, especially the tramway line.</p> <p>The quality and appropriateness of the proposed transport solution is understood as both the draft design of a tramway line that corresponds to the given environment and becomes a natural integrity of the city/public space/park and does not create a barrier in the area.</p> <p>A proposed transport solution, which creates adequate conditions for transport permeability through the area concerned.</p>
---	--	---

- 8.3.3 The Approach Methodology shall be evaluated by the Evaluation Committee within each sub-criterion according to the Contracting Authority's preference (see paragraph 8.3.2 of the Tender Documentation), including when comparing the Approach Methodologies of the individual participants with each other.
- 8.3.4 The level of fulfilment of the evaluation criterion by individual Approach Methodologies, which cannot be expressed quantitatively, shall be evaluated by the Evaluation Committee on the basis of the experience and expertise of its individual members. This method of evaluation is entirely at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee and the participants in the Procurement Procedure, by submitting the Approach Methodology, agree to this procedure.
- 8.3.5 Each Approach Methodology shall be assigned a score in each sub-criterion as follows:

QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED URBAN AND ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN	EVALUATION	SCORE
The prepared design is only marginally related to the subject and purpose of the Public Contract. The Contracting Authority's preferences are only met to a small extent. The answer does not convince the Contracting Authority of the appropriateness of the proposed design, compared to the professional approaches of the other participants.	Less satisfactory	10
The prepared design is complete and sufficiently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, but to a lesser extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority evaluated the professional approaches of the participants with evaluation good to excellent as more appropriate in this sub-criterion.	Satisfactory	20
The prepared design is complete and well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract well.	Good	30
The prepared design is complete and very well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract very well.	Very good	35

<p>The proposed design is very comprehensive and excellently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent, or even exceeds it by appropriate addition. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority places high confidence in the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.</p>	<p>Excellent</p>	<p>40</p>
<p>QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED LANDSCAPE DESIGN</p>	<p>EVALUATION</p>	<p>SCORE</p>
<p>The prepared design is only marginally related to the subject and purpose of the Public Contract. The Contracting Authority's preferences are only met to a small extent. The answer does not convince the Contracting Authority of the appropriateness of the proposed design, compared to the professional approaches of the other participants.</p>	<p>Less satisfactory</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>The prepared design is complete and sufficiently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, but to a lesser extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority evaluated the professional approaches of the participants with evaluation good to excellent as more appropriate in this sub-criterion.</p>	<p>Satisfactory</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>The prepared design is complete and well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract well.</p>	<p>Good</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>The prepared design is complete and very well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract very well</p>	<p>Very good</p>	<p>25</p>

<p>The proposed design is very comprehensive and excellently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent, or even exceeds it by appropriate addition. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority places high confidence in the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.</p>	<p>Excellent</p>	<p>30</p>
<p>QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED TRANSPORT SOLUTION</p>	<p>EVALUATION</p>	<p>SCORE</p>
<p>The prepared design is only marginally related to the subject and purpose of the Public Contract. The Contracting Authority's preferences are only met to a small extent. The answer does not convince the Contracting Authority of the appropriateness of the proposed design, compared to the professional approaches of the other participants.</p>	<p>Less satisfactory</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>The prepared design is complete and sufficiently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, but to a lesser extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority evaluated the professional approaches of the participants with evaluation good to excellent as more appropriate in this sub-criterion.</p>	<p>Satisfactory</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>The prepared design is complete and well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract well.</p>	<p>Good</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>The prepared design is complete and very well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract very well.</p>	<p>Very good</p>	<p>25</p>

The proposed design is very comprehensive and excellently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent, or even exceeds it by appropriate addition. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority places high confidence in the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.

Excellent

30

- 8.3.6 Within the Approach Methodology evaluation according to the scoring table, each Approach Methodology can receive a maximum of 100 points (40 points for the urban and architectural design + 30 points for the landscape design + 30 points for the transport solution). In addition to the scoring, the Evaluation Committee shall prepare, for each Approach Methodology, a verbal description corresponding to the number of points assigned and to the scoring category into which the Approach Methodology (or sub-professional approach) has been classified according to the above scoring table.
- 8.3.7 Five participants, which receive the most points, shall be called to develop the Concept. In the event of a tie in the 5th place, the higher number of points awarded for the professional approach to the urban and architectural design shall prevail. Other participants shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.

9 COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE – CONCEPT

9.1 CALL FOR THE SUBMISSION OF THE CONCEPT

- 9.1.1 Five highest scored participants in the shortlisting of designs shall be sent the Call for the submission of the Concept by the Contracting Authority via the Contracting Authority's Profile (unless the procedure under paragraph 7.1.5 of the Tender Documentation applies).
- 9.1.2 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to conduct the Competitive Dialogue in several phases, in order to shortlist the designs, which shall be further discussed in accordance with Section 69(4) of the PPA in conjunction with Section 112 of the PPA. The shortlisting of designs shall be conducted on the basis of the evaluation of the information provided by the participants in the Concept.
- 9.1.3 **On the basis of the shortlisting of designs, three participants shall be selected and subsequently invited to submit the Draft Design.**
- 9.1.4 There will be no shortlisting of designs if the number of participants, which have submitted the Approach Methodology, is 3 or less. In such case, all these participants shall be invited to submit the Concept (without subsequent shortlisting of designs) and subsequently also to submit the Draft Design.

9.2 TOUR OF THE COMPETITION SITE, INTRODUCTORY WORKSHOP

- 9.2.1 Participants, which have been sent the Call for the submission of the Concept, shall be invited to a guided tour of the competition site and to an introductory workshop/conference with the Contracting Authority, where the key part of the Competition Brief shall be presented by the Contracting Authority (assumed to take 2 days in total). No alternative date shall be set for the guided tour of the competition site and the introductory workshop.
- 9.2.2 The purpose of the introductory workshop is also a joint discussion of the Contracting Authority, invited experts and members of the Evaluation Committee with the participants on the Competition Brief. On the basis of this discussion, the Contracting Authority reserves the right to supplement the Competition Brief or the underlying documents for preparation of the Concept.
- 9.2.3 The Contracting Authority requires that at least one person attends the introductory workshop on behalf of each participant, through whom the participant has demonstrated compliance with the qualification conditions pursuant to paragraph 6.1.4 of the Tender Documentation. A maximum of five persons per participant can participate.

9.3 CONCEPT

- 9.3.1 **Participants shall submit the Concept in the form of a completed Concept template (graphic part), which forms Annex P16.1 of the Tender Documentation and a completed Concept template (text part), which forms Annex P16.2 of the Tender Documentation.**
- 9.3.2 It is recommended that the graphic part of the Concept includes the following:
- Summary brochure/presentation (to be presented during the 1st workshop – Concept)
 - General map of the area (Scale 1:2000)
 - Overall architectural situation of the area concerned (Scale 1:1000)
 - Overall situation of the landscape design (Scale 1:1000)
 - Overall situation of the transport solution (especially the tramway line) (Scale 1:1000)
 - Defined transverse/longitudinal sections through the area (Scale 1:250)
 - Longitudinal profile of the tramway line in the area concerned (Scale 1:1000/100)
 - Typical floor plans of the NOH + Bolzanova garage (Scale 1:500)

- Partial defined NOH sections + Bolzanova garage (Scale 1:200)
- Schematic visualisations from predefined positions
- Supplementary schematic visualisations
- Supplementary schemes with regard to the specificity of the design (materials, landscaping, HDV, traffic, etc.)

9.3.3 It is recommended that the text part of the Concept includes the following:

Accompanying report describing the overall design concept / approximately 1 standard page.

Text sections describing partial topics: architectural and urban design/landscape design/transport solution, each about 2 standard pages.

Supplementary text part of max. approximately 1 standard page.

Maximum of 10 standard pages in total.

9.3.4 **Each participant is entitled to submit only one Concept in the Procurement Procedure.**

A participant, which submits more than one Concept, shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure. None of the Concepts submitted by such participant shall be assessed or evaluated, even outside of the Procurement Procedure, and such participant cannot receive any reimbursement of expenses.

A participant, which has submitted the Concept to the Procurement Procedure on its own, must not simultaneously submit another Concept jointly with another participant. In this situation, the Contracting Authority shall exclude from participation in the Procurement Procedure both the participant in relation to the separately submitted Concept and the Contractor, with whom the participant has teamed up in order to submit the other Concept.

9.3.5 The Concept shall be submitted in Czech or English; in the case of submission of the Concept in English, the participant shall also submit a translation of the Concept into the Czech language, indicating which of the submitted language versions is the primary version .

9.3.6 **Participants shall submit the Concept electronically via the Contracting Authority's Profile within the deadline for submission of the Concept.** The Contracting Authority shall not accept the submission of the Concept in any other way than through the Contracting Authority's Profile; if the Concept is submitted by a participant to the Contracting Authority in any other way, the participant shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.

9.3.7 **The deadline for submission of the Concept is: 21 November 2022, by 3:00 p.m. CET.**

9.3.8 The Contracting Authority recommends submitting the Concept in electronic form in accordance with Annex **P16.8** of the Tender Documentation.

9.3.9 **The Contracting Authority reserves the right to specify more detailed conditions for the preparation of the Concept in the Call for the submission of the Concept.**

9.4 **OTHER PARTS OF THE CONCEPT**

9.4.1 The participant shall make also the following documents part of the Concept as per the completed and signed underlying document:

P16.4. TEMPLATE – LIST OF MEMBERS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION TEAM

P16.5 TEMPLATE – CONTACT AND AUTHOR DOCUMENT, including licensing agreements according to paragraph 14 of the Tender Documentation

P16.6 TEMPLATE – TAX DOMICILE DECLARATION

9.4.2 In the event that the participant does not submit additional components of the Concept to the Contracting Authority electronically via the Contracting Authority's Profile within the time

limit pursuant to paragraph 9.3.7 of the Tender Documentation, the participant may be invited by the organiser of the Procurement Procedure via the Contracting Authority's Profile to submit them additionally, for which a reasonable time limit shall be set. In the event that the deadline expires in vain, the participant shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.

9.5 OTHER PARTS OF THE CONCEPT – LIST OF MEMBERS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION TEAM (P16.4)

9.5.1 Participants, which will be invited to submit the Concept, shall be required to submit, within the Concept, an extended list of members of the implementation team (beyond paragraph 6.1.4 of the Tender Documentation) and to demonstrate in this list of members of the implementation team that the following natural person has also participated in the preparation of the Concept (and that person must also participate in the preparation of the Draft Design/Final Draft Design and, if the Contract is concluded with the participant, also in the performance of the Public Contract):

1) **Lead tram superstructure specialist** – one natural person who meets the following requirements:

- has the CCAET authorisation for the field of transport construction with the numerical designation ID00 according to the Act on the Exercise of Profession,
- has demonstrable experience of at least 5 years in design activities in the field of tramway and/or railway construction,
- has participated in the position of a lead specialist or a similar position in at least 2 cases in the preparation of documentation at the stage of ZDD or BPD (or the documentation for the issuance of the joint permit) or CPPD (VZ-ZDS) for the new construction or reconstruction of tramway lines in the position of tram superstructure specialist (or a similar position),

(combination of the position with the Lead overhead contact line specialist is permissible);

2) **Lead overhead contact line specialist** – one natural person who meets the following requirements:

- has CCAET authorisation for the field of technological equipment of constructions or construction technology – specialisation in electrical equipment according to the Act on the Exercise of Profession,
- has a licence to meet the requirements for a "knowledgeable person with higher qualification" who is authorised to design electronic equipment according to Annex No. 4, Article 8(c) of the Ministry of Transport Decree No. 100/1995 Sb., Regulations for Designated Technical Equipment, as amended,
- has demonstrable experience of at least 5 years in design activities in the field of tramway and/or trolleybus constructions,
- has participated in the position of a lead specialist or a similar position in at least 2 cases in the preparation of documentation at the stage of ZDD or BPD (or the documentation for the issuance of the joint permit) or CPPD (VZ-ZDS) for the new construction or reconstruction of tramway overhead contact lines in the position of tram overhead contact line specialist (or a similar position),

(combination of the position with the Lead tram superstructure specialist is permissible).

Where the participant demonstrates fulfilment of the qualification criterion concerning the presence of a person of an authorised engineer through foreign persons (engineer from an EU member state or another state within the meaning of Act No. 18/2004 Sb., on Recognition of

Professional Qualifications, as amended), the Contracting Authority allows proof of qualification analogously by documents under the law of the country in which the engineer has its registered office (except in cases where, under the law of the country, in which the engineer has its registered office or which the engineer is the citizen of, no such document is issued).

If such participant is the Winning Contractor, it will be obliged, before concluding the Contract with the Contracting Authority, to submit to the Contracting Authority upon request the application for registration of the person established or on temporary assignment concerning the foreign authorised engineer submitted to the CCAET (for additional details, see e.g.: <http://www.ckait.cz/content/uznavani-v-ramci-eu-0>). Prior to commencing activities for the performance of the subject of the Public Contract, in which the specific foreign engineer shall participate, the engineer is obliged to have the CCAET certificate of registration of the person established or on temporary assignment.

The participant is entitled to expand the implementation team beyond the Lead tram superstructure specialist and Lead overhead contact line specialist by additional two tram superstructure specialists or overhead contact line specialists. The team of specialists must demonstrate that they collectively have the experience listed below:

- Two services consisting in the preparation of the project documentation in the stage of ZDD or BPD (or the documentation for the issuance of the joint permit) or CPPD (VZ-ZDS) for the new construction or reconstruction of a double-track tramway line including the overhead contact line, whereby:
 - in at least one case the service has reached a value of at least CZK 2,000,000 excluding VAT;
 - in at least one case, the Contractor has ensured, for the client within the performance of the subject of the service, the issuance of the final building permit or joint permit in accordance with Act No. 183/2006 Sb., on Spatial Planning and Building Regulations (Building Act), as amended.

For the avoidance of doubt, it is stated that it is not required that one person from the team of specialists has experience in both of the above services, i.e. it is possible to demonstrate experience of the above services through two persons, who are members of the team of specialists (1 person = 1 service).

It is accepted that the above two services are identical to the experience demonstrated by the Lead tram superstructure specialist or Lead overhead contact line specialist, provided that the experience of the Lead tram superstructure specialist or Lead overhead contact line specialist meets the substantive requirements for the above two services.

- 3) Trade licence for the field of "**Design Work in Construction**" according to Act No. 455/1991 Sb., on trade business (Trade Licensing Act), as amended

If the participant, when submitting the Application for Participation, has made use of an exception which provides that in the case where, according to the legal regulations of the country in which the Contractor has its registered office, the given document is not issued, then the participant shall, together with the submission of the Concept, demonstrate to the Contracting Authority that within the implementation team there is a person who holds the abovementioned trade licence, and that this person will participate in the performance of the Contract if the Contract is concluded with the given participant.

- 9.5.2 The participant shall demonstrate compliance with the additional condition in the Procurement Procedure according to the paragraph 9.5.1 of the Tender Documentation by completing and signing the affidavit, which forms **P16.4** of the Tender Documentation.

- 9.5.3 It is allowed that compliance with the requirement according to paragraph 9.5.1 of the Tender Documentation may be demonstrated by the participant through another person (subcontractor). The Contracting Authority also notes that if such person is a subcontractor to any participant, that person itself cannot be a participant in the Procurement Procedure.
- 9.5.4 The Contracting Authority shall exclude from participation in the Procurement Procedure a participant, which fails to demonstrate compliance with the additional condition for participation pursuant to paragraph 9.5.1 of the Tender Documentation.
- 9.5.5 A participant's Concept, that has been excluded due to failure to demonstrate compliance with the additional condition for participation in the Procurement Procedure pursuant to paragraph 9.5.1 of the Tender Documentation, shall not be assessed even outside the Procurement Procedure and cannot be reimbursed for its expenses.

9.6 **WORKSHOP ON CONCEPTS – PRESENTATION OF THE CONCEPT BY THE PARTICIPANT**

- 9.6.1 After the submission of the Concepts, the Reviewer shall examine the Concepts and the organiser of the Procurement Procedure shall assess compliance with the additional condition for participation in the Procurement Procedure pursuant to paragraph 9.5.1 of the Tender Documentation.
- 9.6.2 The Concepts of participants, which have fulfilled the additional condition for participation in the Procurement Procedure pursuant to paragraph 9.5.1 of the Tender Documentation, shall be subsequently forwarded to the Evaluation Committee for individual study before the Workshop on Concepts.
- 9.6.3 All participants, which have submitted the Concept to the Contracting Authority within the deadline and have demonstrated compliance with the additional condition for participation in the Procurement Procedure pursuant to paragraph 9.5.1 of the Tender Documentation, shall be invited to present their Concepts before the Evaluation Committee.
- 9.6.4 More detailed conditions for the presentation of the Concepts shall be specified in the Call for the submission of the Concept. Nevertheless, the Contracting Authority stipulates that the presentation of the Concepts of each participant before the Evaluation Committee shall be held separately; each participant shall be given equal time for the presentation of the Concept and for the discussion with the Evaluation Committee on the Concept.

9.7 **SHORTLISTING THE DESIGNS**

- 9.7.1 Base on the shortlisting of designs, three participants shall be selected and subsequently invited to submit the Draft Design. When shortlisting the designs, the Contracting Authority, or the Evaluation Committee, shall evaluate the participant's urban and architectural design, landscape design and transport solution as specified in the Concept under the criterion of **Quality of the proposed design**.
- 9.7.2 The evaluation criterion of Quality of the proposed design is divided into the following sub-criteria:

SUB-CRITERION	EVALUATED PARAMETER	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S PREFERENCES
1. Quality of the proposed urban and architectural design	The urban and architectural design described by the participant in the Concept	<p>The participant shall respond in the Concept to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation).</p> <p>This sub-criterion shall assess the quality and appropriateness of the proposed urban and architectural design.</p> <p>The quality and appropriateness of the proposed urban and architectural design is understood as the creation of functional, clear, safe and comprehensible spaces, including the roof space of the NOH, in which the permeability of the area is improved, and appropriate integration into the context of the city.</p> <p>A design, which appropriately proposes the new layout (including possible expansion) and functional solution of the NOH with respect to the Competition Brief, shall be evaluated better. A design, which proposes the principles of the functional solution of the lettable areas, including the possible mix of uses, shall be evaluated better.</p> <p>A design, which appropriately develops the urban and architectural qualities of the whole of the area concerned, taking into account the future context of the social and cultural manifestations of the site, as well as good understanding of the transport and technical context of the wider area, shall be evaluated better.</p> <p>A design, which offers an appropriate functional revitalisation and connection between various levels of the area concerned, as well as strengthening its links to the wider surroundings, including the design incorporating Fanta's cafe, shall be considered of a higher quality.</p>

2.	Quality of the proposed landscape design	Landscape design described by the participant in the Concept	<p>The participant shall respond in the Concept to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation).</p> <p>This sub-criterion shall assess the quality and appropriateness of the proposed landscape design.</p> <p>The quality and appropriateness of the proposed landscape design is understood as the creation of functional, clear, safe and comprehensible spaces, its appropriate integration into the context, its appropriateness and effectiveness in relation to the site. Integration into context means the ability to assess well the specific character given by the development of the area concerned and to respond appropriately to it. Appropriateness means the use of materials and elements (paving, species composition of trees, elements of the planted vegetation, etc.) in relation to the Competition Brief, the existing perceived environment, but especially in relation to the participant's future idea of the character of the area concerned.</p> <p>A proposed landscape design, which creates adequate conditions for enhancing biodiversity and nature in the city in proportion to the site, shall be evaluated better.</p> <p>The choice and parameters of the individual elements of the public space (quantity and size of paved areas, urban furniture, landscaping, vegetation elements) corresponding to the proposed character of the area concerned shall be evaluated better.</p>
3.	Quality of the proposed transport solution	Transport solution described by the participant in the Concept	<p>The participant shall respond in the Concept to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation).</p> <p>This sub-criterion shall assess the quality and appropriateness of the proposed transport solution.</p> <p>The quality and appropriateness of the proposed transport solution is understood as both the draft design of a tramway line that corresponds to the given environment and becomes a natural integrity of the city/public space/park and does not create</p>

a barrier in the area (nor a collision of permeability from the park to the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station), and the design of a suitable transport connection of the area concerned with the wider area.

A proposed transport solution, which creates adequate conditions for transport permeability through the area concerned while presenting a creative/innovative approach to the above-ground infrastructure elements and which responds appropriately to the individual types of transport in the wider area, including the conceptual design of the method of pedestrian connection with the Masaryk railway station's platform, shall be evaluated better.

- 9.7.3 The Concept shall be evaluated by the Evaluation Committee within each sub-criterion according to the Contracting Authority's preference (see paragraph 9.7.2 of the Tender Documentation), including when comparing the Concepts of the individual participants with each other.
- 9.7.4 The level of fulfilment of the evaluation criterion by individual Concepts, which cannot be expressed quantitatively, shall be evaluated by the Evaluation Committee on the basis of the experience and expertise of its individual members. This method of evaluation is entirely at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee and the participants in the Procurement Procedure, by submitting the Concept, agree to this procedure.
- 9.7.5 Each Concept shall be assigned a score in each sub-criterion as follows:

QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED URBAN AND ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN	EVALUATION	SCORE
The prepared design is only marginally related to the subject and purpose of the Public Contract. The Contracting Authority's preferences are only met to a small extent. The answer does not convince the Contracting Authority of the appropriateness of the proposed design, compared to the Concepts of the other participants.	Less satisfactory	10
The prepared design is complete and sufficiently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, but to a lesser extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority evaluated the Concepts of the participants with evaluation good to excellent as more appropriate in this sub-criterion.	Satisfactory	20
The prepared design is complete and well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract well.	Good	30
The prepared design is complete and very well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large	Very good	35

<p>extent. Compared to the Concepts of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract very well.</p>		
<p>The proposed design is very comprehensive and excellently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent, or even exceeds it by appropriate addition. Compared to the Concepts of the other participants, the Contracting Authority places high confidence in the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.</p>	<p>Excellent</p>	<p>40</p>
<p>QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED LANDSCAPE DESIGN</p>	<p>EVALUATION</p>	<p>SCORE</p>
<p>The prepared design is only marginally related to the subject and purpose of the Public Contract. The Contracting Authority's preferences are only met to a small extent. The answer does not convince the Contracting Authority of the appropriateness of the proposed design, compared to the Concepts of the other participants.</p>	<p>Less satisfactory</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>The prepared design is complete and sufficiently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, but to a lesser extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority evaluated the Concepts of the participants with evaluation good to excellent as more appropriate in this sub-criterion.</p>	<p>Satisfactory</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>The prepared design is complete and well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to</p>	<p>Good</p>	<p>20</p>

perform the subject of the Public Contract well.		
The prepared design is complete and very well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the Concepts of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract very well.	Very good	25
The proposed design is very comprehensive and excellently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent, or even exceeds it by appropriate addition. Compared to the Concepts of the other participants, the Contracting Authority places high confidence in the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.	Excellent	30
QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED TRANSPORT SOLUTION	EVALUATION	SCORE
The prepared design is only marginally related to the subject and purpose of the Public Contract. The Contracting Authority's preferences are only met to a small extent. The answer does not convince the Contracting Authority of the appropriateness of the proposed design, compared to the Concepts of the other participants.	Less satisfactory	5
The prepared design is complete and sufficiently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, but to a lesser extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority evaluated the Concepts of the participants with evaluation good to excellent as more appropriate in this sub-criterion.	Satisfactory	10

<p>The prepared design is complete and well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract well.</p>	<p>Good</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>The prepared design is complete and very well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the Concepts of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract very well.</p>	<p>Very good</p>	<p>25</p>
<p>The proposed design is very comprehensive and excellently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent, or even exceeds it by appropriate addition. Compared to the Concepts of the other participants, the Contracting Authority places high confidence in the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.</p>	<p>Excellent</p>	<p>30</p>

9.7.6 Within the Concept evaluation according to the scoring table above, each Concept can receive a maximum of 100 points (40 points for the urban and architectural design + 30 points for the landscape design + 30 points for the transport solution).

Three participants, which receive the most points, shall be called to develop the Draft Design. In the event of a tie in the 3rd place, the higher number of points awarded for the draft urban and architectural design shall prevail. Other participants shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.

9.7.7 After the presentation of the Concepts, the Contracting Authority shall provide the participants with a preliminary evaluation of their submitted Concept according to the evaluation criteria for shortlisting the designs pursuant to paragraph 9.7.2 of the Tender Documentation and a verbal recommendation of the Evaluation Committee to complete the Concepts.

10 COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE – DRAFT DESIGN

10.1 CALL FOR THE SUBMISSION OF THE DRAFT DESIGN

10.1.1 Three highest scored participants in the shortlisting of designs shall be sent the Call for the submission of the Draft Design by the Contracting Authority via the Contracting Authority's Profile (unless the procedure under paragraph 9.1.4 of the Tender Documentation applies).

10.2 DRAFT DESIGN

10.2.1 Participants shall submit the Draft Design in the form of a completed Draft Design template (graphic part), which forms Annex **P18.1** of the Tender Documentation and a completed Draft Design template (text part), which forms Annex **P18.2** of the Tender Documentation.

10.2.2 In the Call for the submission of the Draft Design, the Contracting Authority shall set out the recommended content of the graphic and text part of the Draft Design, and it is assumed that this content shall be specified similarly to the recommended content of the Concept, just determining a more detailed scale. However, the Contracting Authority reserves the right to confirm the final form of the recommended content of the Draft Design only in its Call for the submission of the Draft Design.

10.2.3 If the Participant chooses other documents (than **P18.1** and **P18.2**) for the purpose of submitting the Draft Design, this shall not be a reason for excluding the Participant from participation in the Procurement Procedure; however, the participant must maintain the maximum extent for the preparation of the Draft Design, which shall be specified in the Call for the submission of the Draft Design.

10.2.4 Each participant is entitled to submit only one Draft Design in the Procurement Procedure.

A participant, which submits more than one Draft Design, shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure. None of the Draft Designs submitted by such participant shall be assessed or evaluated, even outside of the Procurement Procedure, and such participant cannot receive any reimbursement of expenses.

A participant, which has submitted the Draft Design to the Procurement Procedure on its own, must not simultaneously submit another Draft Design jointly with another participant. In this situation, the Contracting Authority shall exclude from participation in the Procurement Procedure both the participant in relation to the separately submitted Draft Design and the Contractor, with whom the participant has teamed up in order to submit the other Draft Design.

10.2.5 The Draft Design shall be submitted in Czech or English; in the case of submission of the Draft Design in English, the participant shall also submit a translation of the Draft Design into the Czech language, indicating which of the submitted language versions is the primary version.

10.2.6 Participants shall submit the Draft Design electronically via the Contracting Authority's Profile within the deadline for submission of the Draft Design. The Contracting Authority shall not accept the submission of the Draft Design in any other way than through the Contracting Authority's Profile; if the Draft Design is submitted by a participant to the Contracting Authority in any other way, the participant shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.

10.2.7 The deadline for submission of the Draft Design is: 3 March 2023, by 3:00 p.m. CET.

10.2.8 The Contracting Authority recommends submitting the Draft Design in electronic form in accordance with Annex **P18.8** of the Tender Documentation.

10.2.9 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to specify more detailed conditions for the preparation of the Draft Design in the Call for the submission of the Draft Design.

10.3 OTHER PARTS OF THE DRAFT DESIGN

10.3.1 The participant shall make also the following documents part of the Draft Design, as per the completed and signed underlying document:

P18.4. TEMPLATE – CONTACT AND AUTHOR DOCUMENT, including licensing agreements according to paragraph 14 of the Tender Documentation

P18.5. TEMPLATE – BALANCE TABLES

P18.6. TEMPLATE – NON-BINDING OFFER PRICE FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PUBLIC CONTRACT

P18.7. TEMPLATE – TAX DOMICILE DECLARATION

10.3.2 In the event that the participant does not submit additional components of the Draft Design to the Contracting Authority electronically via the Contracting Authority's Profile within the time limit pursuant to paragraph 10.2.7 of the Tender Documentation, the participant may be invited by the organiser of the Procurement Procedure via the Contracting Authority's Profile to submit them additionally, for which a reasonable time limit shall be set. In the event that the deadline expires in vain, the participant shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure.

10.4 WORKSHOP ON DRAFT DESIGNS – PRESENTATION OF THE DRAFT DESIGN BY THE PARTICIPANT

10.4.1 After the submission of the Draft Design, the Reviewer shall examine the Draft Designs.

10.4.2 The Draft Design shall then be forwarded to the Evaluation Committee for individual study prior to the workshop on the Draft Design.

10.4.3 All participants, which have submitted the Draft Design to the Contracting Authority within the deadline, shall be invited to present their Draft Designs to the Evaluation Committee. Participants' Draft Designs shall be presented simultaneously in virtual reality, for which the participant shall be obliged to cooperate with the designated Contractor of the virtual reality system during the preparation of the Draft Designs. Further information shall be provided to the participants together with the Call for the submission of the Draft Design.

10.4.4 More detailed conditions for the presentation of the Draft Designs shall be specified in the Call for the submission of the Draft Design. Nevertheless, the Contracting Authority stipulates that the presentation of the Draft Designs of each participant before the Evaluation Committee shall be held separately; each participant shall be given equal time for the presentation of the Draft Design and for the discussion with the Evaluation Committee on the Draft Design.

10.5 EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE EVALUATION COMMITTEE ON THE DRAFT DESIGNS

10.5.1 After the presentation of the Draft Design, the Contracting Authority shall provide the participants with a preliminary evaluation of their submitted Draft Design (pursuant to paragraph 10.6.2 of the Tender Documentation) and a verbal recommendation of the Evaluation Committee to complete the Draft Designs.

10.6 EVALUATION OF THE DRAFT DESIGNS

10.6.1 When evaluating the Draft Designs, the Contracting Authority, or the Evaluation Committee, shall evaluate the participant's urban and architectural design, landscape design and transport solution as specified in the Draft Design, under the criterion of **Quality of the proposed design**.

10.6.2 The evaluation criterion of Quality of the proposed design is divided into the following sub-criteria:

	SUB-CRITERION	EVALUATED PARAMETER	CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S PREFERENCES
1.	Quality of the proposed urban and architectural design	The draft urban and architectural design presented by the participant in the Draft Design	<p>The participant shall respond in the Draft Design to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation) and the Evaluation Committee shall evaluate the quality and comprehensiveness of the incorporation of these requirements into the Draft Design.</p> <p>This sub-criterion shall assess the quality and appropriateness of the proposed urban and architectural design, including the quality and appropriateness of the public space design, the design of the leisure use of Vrchlického sady Park, including the roof of the NOH and adjacent ramps, the design of the connection between the park and the station hall area without collision with tram traffic, in relation to the building of the New Terminal Hall, the quality and appropriateness of the interior layout of the terminal hall with emphasis on the location of the lettable areas by actively linking the exterior and interior in order to maximise the lettable areas' space, and the quality and appropriateness of the location of the exterior facilities areas (services: security, social services, B+R, NOH technology, leisure activities).</p> <p>The quality and appropriateness of the proposed urban and architectural design is understood as the creation of functional, clear, safe and comprehensible spaces, in which the permeability of the area is improved and the use of the area for leisure activities is enhanced, appropriate integration into the context of the city, and in relation to the New Terminal Hall a functional and maximalist approach to the design of the lettable areas, including the possible mix of uses.</p> <p>A design, which appropriately develops the urban and architectural qualities of the entire area concerned, taking into account the future context of the social and cultural manifestations of the site (inter alia with regard to security), as well as good understanding of the transport and technical context of the wider area, and also logical interconnection of plans in the territory, shall be evaluated better.</p>

			<p>A design, which offers a suitable functional revitalisation and connection between various levels of the area concerned, as well as strengthening its links to the wider surroundings, shall be considered of a higher quality.</p>
2.	Quality of the proposed landscape design	<p>Draft landscape design presented by the participant in the Draft Design</p>	<p>The participant shall respond in the Draft Design to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation) and the Evaluation Committee shall evaluate the quality and comprehensiveness of the incorporation of these requirements into the Draft Design.</p> <p>This sub-criterion shall assess the quality and appropriateness of the proposed landscape design. The quality and appropriateness of the proposed landscape design is understood as the creation of functional, clear, safe and comprehensible spaces, its appropriate integration into the context, its appropriateness and effectiveness in relation to the site. Integration into context means the ability to assess well the specific character given by the development of the area concerned and to respond appropriately to it. Appropriateness means the use of materials and elements (paving, species composition of trees, elements of the planted vegetation, etc.) in relation to the Competition Brief, the existing perceived environment, but especially in relation to the participant's future idea of the character of the area concerned.</p> <p>A proposed landscape design, which creates adequate conditions for enhancing biodiversity and nature in the city in proportion to the site, shall be evaluated better.</p> <p>The choice and parameters of the individual elements of the public space (quantity and size of paved areas, urban furniture, landscaping, vegetation elements) corresponding to the proposed character of the area concerned and reflecting the fact that appropriate choice of these elements should contribute to increasing security in the territory, shall be evaluated better.</p>
3.	Quality of the proposed transport solution	<p>Draft transport solution presented by the participant in the Draft Design</p>	<p>The participant shall respond in the Draft Design to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation) and the Evaluation Committee shall evaluate the quality and comprehensiveness of the incorporation of these requirements into the Draft Design.</p> <p>This sub-criterion shall assess the quality and appropriateness of the proposed transport solution. The quality and appropriateness of the proposed transport solution is understood as</p>

both the draft design of a tramway line that corresponds to the given environment and becomes a natural integrity of the city/public space/park and does not create a barrier in the area (nor a collision of permeability from the park to the New Terminal Hall of the Prague Main Railway Station), and the design of a suitable transport connection of the area concerned with the wider area, including consideration of the pedestrian connection of the roof of the terminal hall with Fanta's building considered within stage I.

A proposed transport solution, which creates adequate conditions for transport permeability through the area concerned while presenting a creative/innovative approach to the above-ground infrastructure elements and which responds appropriately to the individual types of transport in the wider area, while always reflecting the traffic safety perspective, shall be evaluated better.

The proposed transport solution, which does not show any contradiction with the current legislation in the Czech Republic concerning transport constructions to the extent set out in the Call for the submission of the Draft Design, shall be evaluated better.

- 10.6.3 The Draft Design shall be evaluated by the Evaluation Committee within each sub-criterion according to the Contracting Authority's preference (see paragraph 10.6.2 of the Tender Documentation), including when comparing the Draft Designs of the individual participants with each other.
- 10.6.4 The level of fulfilment of the evaluation criterion by individual Draft Designs, which cannot be expressed quantitatively, shall be evaluated by the Evaluation Committee on the basis of the experience and expertise of its individual members. This method of evaluation is entirely at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee and the participants in the Procurement Procedure, by submitting the Draft Design, agree to this procedure.
- 10.6.5 Each Draft Design shall be assigned a score in each sub-criterion as follows:

QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED URBAN AND ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN	EVALUATION	SCORE
The prepared design is only marginally related to the subject and purpose of the Public Contract. The Contracting Authority's preferences are only met to a small extent. The answer does not convince the Contracting Authority of the appropriateness of the proposed design, compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants.	Less satisfactory	10
The prepared design is complete and sufficiently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, but to a lesser extent. Compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants, the Contracting Authority evaluated the Concepts of the participants with evaluation good to excellent as more appropriate in this sub-criterion.	Satisfactory	20
The prepared design is complete and well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract well.	Good	30
The prepared design is complete and very well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract very	Very good	35

well.		
The proposed design is very comprehensive and excellently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent, or even exceeds it by appropriate addition. Compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants, the Contracting Authority places high confidence in the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.	Excellent	40

QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED LANDSCAPE DESIGN	EVALUATION	SCORE
The prepared design is only marginally related to the subject and purpose of the Public Contract. The Contracting Authority's preferences are only met to a small extent. The answer does not convince the Contracting Authority of the appropriateness of the proposed design, compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants.	Less satisfactory	5
The prepared design is complete and sufficiently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, but to a lesser extent. Compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants, the Contracting Authority evaluated the Concepts of the participants with evaluation good to excellent as more appropriate in this sub-criterion.	Satisfactory	10
The prepared design is complete and well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract well.	Good	20
The prepared design is complete and very well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the Draft Designs	Very good	25

of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract very well		
The proposed design is very comprehensive and excellently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent, or even exceeds it by appropriate addition. Compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants, the Contracting Authority places high confidence in the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.	Excellent	30
QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED TRANSPORT SOLUTION	EVALUATION	SCORE
The prepared design is only marginally related to the subject and purpose of the Public Contract. The Contracting Authority's preferences are only met to a small extent. The answer does not convince the Contracting Authority of the appropriateness of the proposed design, compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants.	Less satisfactory	5
The prepared design is complete and sufficiently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, but to a lesser extent. Compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants, the Contracting Authority evaluated the Concepts of the participants with evaluation good to excellent as more appropriate in this sub-criterion.	Satisfactory	10
The prepared design is complete and well defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the professional approaches of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract well.	Good	20
The prepared design is complete and very well defended. It responds to the preferences of the	Very good	25

<p>Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent. Compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants, the Contracting Authority is convinced of the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.</p>		
<p>The proposed design is very comprehensive and excellently defended. It responds to the preferences of the Contracting Authority and the Competition Brief, and also fulfils the purpose of the Public Contract, to a large extent, or even exceeds it by appropriate addition. Compared to the Draft Designs of the other participants, the Contracting Authority places high confidence in the Contractor's ability to perform the subject of the Public Contract in a quality manner.</p>	<p>Excellent</p>	<p>30</p>

- 10.6.6 Within the Draft Design evaluation according to the scoring table, each Draft Design can receive a maximum of 100 points (40 points for the urban and architectural design + 30 points for the landscape design + 30 points for the transport solution). In addition to the scoring, the Evaluation Committee shall prepare, for each Draft Design, a verbal description corresponding to the number of points assigned and to the scoring category into which the Draft Design has been classified according to the above scoring table.
- 10.6.7 In the event that any of the Draft Designs is, even in only one of the evaluated criteria (quality of the proposed urban and architectural design, quality of the proposed landscape design, quality of the proposed transport solution), classified as "less satisfactory", such Draft Design shall be eliminated and the participant, which has submitted such Draft Design, shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure (even if the Draft Design submitted by this participant was classified as "excellent" in one or both of the remaining evaluation criteria).

11 BID PHASE

11.1 CALL FOR THE SUBMISSION OF THE BID

11.1.1 Participants, which have submitted the Draft Design to the Contracting Authority, shall be sent the Call for the submission of the Bid (except in the case of paragraph 10.6.7. of the Tender Documentation).

11.1.2 As a part of the Bid, the participant shall submit the Final Draft Design, which is based on the submitted Draft Design and takes into account the verbal recommendation of the Evaluation Committee to complete the Draft Design, or a justification why the participant has not taken into account this recommendation of the Evaluation Committee in the Final Draft Design.

11.1.3 **Each participant is entitled to submit only one Bid in the Procurement Procedure.**

A participant, which submits more than one Bid, shall be excluded from participation in the Procurement Procedure. None of the Final Draft Designs submitted by such participant within the Bid shall be assessed or evaluated, even outside of the Procurement Procedure, and such participant cannot receive any reimbursement of expenses.

A participant, which has submitted the Bid to the Procurement Procedure on its own, must not simultaneously submit another Bid jointly with another participant. In this situation, the Contracting Authority shall exclude from participation in the Procurement Procedure both the participant in relation to the separately submitted Bid and the Contractor, with whom the participant has teamed up in order to submit the other Bid.

11.1.4 The Contracting Authority expects that the Bid, including the Final Draft Design, is submitted electronically via the Contracting Authority's Profile, and partly (graphic part of the Final Draft Design) in writing.

11.1.5 The Bids shall be submitted in Czech or English; in the case of submission of the Bid in English, the participant shall also submit a translation of the Final Draft Design into the Czech language, indicating which of the submitted language versions is the primary version .

11.1.6 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to set out the recommended content of the Bid, including the recommended content of the Final Draft Design, in the Call for the submission of the Bid. The Contracting Authority expects the submission of the graphic part of the Final Draft Design in the scope of maximum six panels.

11.1.7 The Contracting Authority reserves the right to submit additional underlying documents (annexes to the Tender Documentation) in the Call for the submission of the Bid for the purpose of preparing the Bid (including the Final Draft Design).

11.2 ASSESSMENT OF THE BID

11.2.1 After the deadline for submission of the Bid, the organiser of the Procurement Procedure shall, together with the Reviewer, assess the Bid and examine the Final Draft Design.

11.2.2 The Reviewer of the Final Draft Design shall prepare a report of the examination, which shall be submitted to the Evaluation Committee and attached to the Protocol on the Course of the Competition (Competitive Dialogue).

11.2.3 The evaluated Bids shall be made available to the Evaluation Committee for individual study prior to the Evaluation Committee meeting.

11.3 EVALUATION OF THE BID

11.3.1 The Bids of the participants shall be evaluated according to the criterion of economic advantage of the Bids, according to the evaluation criteria listed below:

1.	Quality of the proposed design	weight 70%
2.	Total offer price in CZK without VAT	weight 30%

11.3.2 The subject of the evaluation in the evaluation criterion "Quality of the proposed design" is the design of the urban, architectural, landscape and transport solution according to the participant's Draft Design.

The method of evaluation in the Quality of the proposed design criterion shall be the same as in the evaluation of the Draft Design according to paragraph 10.6.2 of the Tender Documentation.

The number of points thus obtained shall be multiplied by the criterion weight (i.e., x 0.7) and then mathematically rounded to two decimal places.

11.3.3 Subject to evaluation under the evaluation criterion "Total offer price in CZK without VAT" is the amount of the participant's offer price in CZK without VAT for the performance of the subject of the Public Contract stated in the participant's Bid.

The total offer price in CZK without VAT must include all costs associated with the performance of the subject of the Public Contract, of which the participant knew or could have known that they are necessary for the performance of the subject of this Public Contract.

The total offer price is set by the participant in its Bid in accordance with the instructions provided in the Call for the submission of the Bid. The terms and conditions for the preparation of the offer price of the participants shall be contained in the Call for the submission of the Bid.

The Contracting Authority draws attention to the fact that the offer price for the performance of the subject of individual contracts should not exceed in individual cases the threshold limits set out below for the price level Q1/2022 (with the possibility of an exceptional price increase by 10% of the threshold limit, with justification of such price increase above the threshold limit):

- a) in respect of Contract 1 CZK 37 mil. without VAT
- b) in respect of Contract 2 CZK 15 mil. without VAT
- c) in respect of Contract 3 CZK 114 mil. without VAT.

Evaluation method: The Bid, which offers the lowest total offer price in CZK without VAT, shall be awarded 100 points. The other Bids shall be awarded a point score according to the formula:

$$\frac{\text{Amount of the lowest total offer price}}{\text{Amount of the total offer price under evaluation}} \times 100$$

Amount of the total offer price under evaluation

The number of points thus obtained shall be multiplied by the criterion weight (i.e. x 0.3) and then mathematically rounded to two decimal places.

11.3.4 Summary evaluation of the Bids shall be performed by adding up the numerical evaluation of the Bids by the individual criteria for each Bid, i.e., adding up the weighted score for the criterion "Total offer price in CZK without VAT" and the weighted score for the criterion

"Quality of the proposed design" and thus the ranking is determined of the measure of success of the participants. The Bid with the highest score shall be evaluated as the most economically advantageous; the other Bids shall be ranked in descending order of their economic advantage (total weighted score).

- 11.3.5 If two or more Bids obtain the same number of points, the one that has achieved a better score (i.e. higher number of weighted points) in the criterion "Quality of the proposed design" shall be chosen as the more economically advantageous.

11.4 DECISION ON THE SELECTION OF THE CONTRACTOR

- 11.4.1 In selecting the most advantageous Bid, the Contracting Authority is bound by the result of the evaluation of the Bids according to paragraph 11.3.4 of the Tender Documentation.

- 11.4.2 The Contracting Authority may decide to re-evaluate the Bids for the reasons set out in Section 148(7) of the PPA and Section 11(1) of the Competition Rules.

- 11.4.3 The Contracting Authority shall send the notice of the selection of the Contractor without undue delay after the decision of the selection of the most appropriate Bid to all participants which have submitted their Bids in the Procurement Procedure. The notice of the selection shall include a report on the evaluation of the Bids and the result of the assessment of compliance with the conditions of participation (qualification) by the Winning Contractor, including information that should otherwise be included in the Protocol on the Course of the Competition according to the Competition Rules.

- 11.4.4 The result of the Procurement Procedure shall be published by the Contracting Authority after the announcement of the decision on the selection also in other ways – the Contracting Authority's website, the CCA' website.

- 11.4.5 On the date of publication of the notice of the decision on the selection of the most appropriate Bid, the 15-day period for making the Final Draft Design available begins.

11.5 TERMINATION OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE, CANCELLATION OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

- 11.5.1 The Procurement Procedure is terminated on the date on which:

- a) the deadline for submission of objections against the selection of the most appropriate Bid pursuant to Section 241 et seq. of the PPA and Section 13 of the Competition Rules expires for all participants if no objections are filed;
- b) if objections are filed, the deadline for filing a petition for review proceedings of the Contracting Authority's actions with the Office for the Protection of Competition pursuant to Section 251(2) and (3) of the PPA expires if no petition is filed;
- c) in the event of a filed petition pursuant to Section 251 of the PPA, the decision to discontinue the administrative proceedings or to reject the petition takes legal effect.

- 11.5.2 The Contracting Authority has the right to cancel the Procurement Procedure before the final decision of the Evaluation Committee. In such a case, the Contracting Authority is obliged to pay to each of the participants, which proves that it has developed or prepared the Concept, a compensation for the cancellation of the Competition (Competitive Dialogue) in the amount of a maximum CZK 400,000 if the Procurement Procedure is cancelled before the Call for the submission of the Draft Design has been sent, or a maximum of CZK 900,000 if the Procurement Procedure is cancelled in the phase after the Call for the submission of the Draft Design has been sent, or a maximum of CZK 900,000 if the Procurement Procedure is cancelled in the phase after the Call for the submission of the Bid has been sent. Participants shall prove their work in progress by delivering their Concept/Draft Design/Bid (or the Final Draft Design) in electronic form (in the formats in which the participant was processing it) via the Contracting Authority's Profile within 15 days of the publication of the cancellation of the Procurement

Procedure. The Contracting Authority shall pay the compensation, based on the Evaluation Committee's opinion on the level of work in progress of the designs, within 60 days of the publication of the cancellation of the Procurement Procedure.

11.5.3 The Procurement Procedure shall be cancelled if any of the co-contracting authorities (Contracting Authority 1 and/or Contracting Authority 2 and/or Contracting Authority 3) withdraws from the Joint Procurement Agreement.

11.5.4 Public exhibition of the Final Draft Designs

The public exhibition of the Final Draft Designs shall start approximately within six months from the publication of the announcement of the decision on the selection of the most appropriate Bid if the Contracting Authority decides so.

12 CONCLUSION OF CONTRACT

- 12.1.1 The Contracting Authority reserves the option to negotiate with the Winning Contractor in accordance with Section 69(8) of the PPA in order to confirm its Bid and specify the contractual terms and conditions, providing that this does not result in a change to the basic parameters of the Bid or the procurement terms and conditions.
- 12.1.2 Prior to concluding the Contract, the Winning Contractor shall be obliged to submit at the request of the Contracting Authority all documents and data stipulated in Section 122(3) of the PPA. The Winning Contractor shall be obliged to submit, in particular, originals or certified copies of the qualification documents, unless they have been already submitted in the Procurement Procedure or unless they are otherwise available to the Contracting Authority.
- 12.1.3 For the Winning Contractor, which is a Czech legal entity, the Contracting Authority shall ascertain the data on its beneficial owner pursuant to Act No. 37/2021, on the Registration of Beneficial Owners, as amended (the "beneficial owner") from the register of beneficial owners pursuant to the same Act, or for the Winning Contractor, which is a foreign legal entity, the Contracting Authority shall request such Winning Contractor to submit an extract from a foreign register similar to the register of beneficial owners within the meaning of Section 122(5) of the PPA.
- 12.1.4 The Contracting Authority points out that it is bound by Section 211(3) of the PPA stipulating the obligation of written electronic communication between the Contracting Authority and the Contractor/participant, which applies to all submitted documents, including documents submitted by the Winning Contractor based on request pursuant to Section 122(3) and (5) of the PPA. Where the law (such as Section 122(3)(a) of the PPA) or the Contracting Authority requires that the Winning Contractor submits the original documents and such documents only exist as hard copies, these need to be converted into electronic form in accordance with Section 22 of Act No. 300/2008 Sb., on Electronic Transactions and Authorised Conversion of Documents, as amended.
- 12.1.5 The commercial and payment terms and conditions of performance of the subject of the Public Contract are contained in the draft Contract 1, draft Contract 2 and draft Contract 3, which form Annex **P17.1, P17.2 and P17.3** of the Tender Documentation. The final and definitive version of the draft Contracts shall be provided to the participants in the Procurement Procedure along with the Call for the submission of Bids and shall account for the results of negotiations with the participants in the phase of the actual Competitive Dialogue (competition workshop).
- 12.1.6 The participant, with whom the Contract will be concluded on the basis of the result of the Competitive Dialogue, commits to grant to the Contracting Authority an exclusive licence, unlimited in terms of time and territory, to use the work created on the basis of the Contracts, with the possibility to grant a sub-licence to third parties in accordance with the Copyright Act. The price for the license shall be included in the price for the work under the Contract.
- 12.1.7 The Contracting Authority reserves the option to request from the Winning Contractor, prior to conclusion of the Contract, an affidavit of absence of conflict of interest pursuant to Section 4b of Act No. 159/2006 Sb., on Conflict of Interest, as amended. In the event that the Winning Contractor is proven to be in a conflict of interest within the meaning of the above provision, the Contracting Authority reserves the right to exclude the Winning Contractor from the Public Contract.

13 REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES RELATED TO PARTICIPATION IN THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

13.1 TOTAL AMOUNT FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES IN THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

The total amount for reimbursement of expenses in the Procurement Procedure is set at CZK 7,600,000.

13.2 REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES RELATED TO THE SUBMISSION OF THE CONCEPT

The Contracting Authority shall pay to each participant, which has submitted the Concept, subsequently reviewed and evaluated by the Evaluation Committee, within the Procurement Procedure, reimbursement of expenses in the amount of CZK 400,000.

13.3 REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES RELATED TO THE SUBMISSION OF THE DRAFT DESIGN

The Contracting Authority shall pay to each participant, which has submitted the Draft Design, subsequently reviewed and evaluated by the Evaluation Committee, within the Procurement Procedure, reimbursement of expenses in the amount of CZK 900,000.

13.4 REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES RELATED TO THE SUBMISSION OF THE FINAL DRAFT DESIGN

The Contracting Authority shall pay to each participant, which has submitted the Final Draft Design, subsequently reviewed and evaluated by the Evaluation Committee, within the Procurement Procedure, reimbursement of expenses in the amount of CZK 900,000.

13.5 REQUIREMENTS OF THE TAXATION OF REIMBURSEMENTS OF EXPENSES DISTRIBUTED IN THE COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE

13.5.1 Reimbursement of expenses for natural persons non-entrepreneurs shall be reduced, pursuant to Section 36(2)(i) of Act No. 586/1992 Sb., on Income Tax, as amended, by income tax in the amount of 15%, which shall be paid by the Contracting Authority pursuant to Act No. 280/2009 Sb., the Tax Code, as amended, to the tax administrator.

13.5.2 Reimbursement of expenses for legal entities and natural persons entrepreneurs shall be paid, pursuant to Act No. 586/1992 Sb., on Income Tax, as amended, in full and taxed by the legal entity or the natural person entrepreneur themselves within regular tax return.

13.5.3 Reimbursement of expenses for participants, which are not taxpayers in the Czech Republic, shall be paid in full. Such participants shall pay income tax in accordance with the legal regulations in force and effective in the place of their tax residence.

13.6 PAYMENT OF THE REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES

13.6.1 Reimbursement of expenses pursuant to paragraph 13.2 of the Tender Documentation shall be paid to the participants no later than 30 days after the expiry of the deadline for lodging objections against the Contracting Authority's decision to shortlist the designs pursuant to paragraph 9.7.2 of the Tender Documentation, or 20 days of the decision on such objection, if lodged.

13.6.2 Reimbursement of expenses pursuant to paragraphs 13.3 and 13.4 of the Tender Documentation shall be paid to the participants no later than 30 days after the expiry of the deadline for lodging objections against the Contracting Authority's decision on the selection of the most appropriate Bid, or 20 days of the decision on such objection, if submitted.

14 COPYRIGHT

14.1 ENSURING COPYRIGHT PROTECTION IN THE PARTICIPANT – AUTHOR RELATIONSHIP

14.1.1 The participant shall demonstrate that the copyright protection of the Approach Methodology/ Concept/ Draft Design/ Final Draft Design is ensured, specifically by attaching the following documents (as applicable) to the document titled "author and contact":

- 1) declaration that the participant is also the author in the case when the Approach Methodology/ Concept /Draft Design /Bid is submitted by a natural person, who is both the participant and the author, or by a legal entity, whose governing body is the person that is the author of the Approach Methodology/Concept/ Draft Design/ Final Draft Design;
- 2) licence agreement governing the settlement of copyrights between the authors – natural persons submitting the Approach Methodology/ Concept/ Draft Design/ Bid jointly as a participant;
- 3) licence agreement governing the settlement of copyrights between legal entities submitting the Approach Methodology/ Concept/ Draft Design/ Bid jointly as a participant if the author of the Approach Methodology/ Concept/ Draft Design/ Final Draft Design is a governing body or an employee of the legal entity;
- 4) license agreement between the participant and the author if the author is a subcontractor of the participant.

14.1.2 If the license agreement regulates the settlement of copyrights in such a way that its content covers more than one of the abovementioned options of the relationship between the authors and the participant in the Procurement Procedure, it is sufficient to document such a comprehensive license agreement and it is not necessary to document a separate license agreement for such a covered option.

14.1.3 Proof of copyright protection shall be required by the Contracting Authority when submitting the Approach Methodology and the Concept as well as the Draft Design and the Bid.

14.2 ENSURING THE PROTECTION OF COPYRIGHT IN THE PARTICIPANT – CONTRACTING AUTHORITY RELATIONSHIP

14.2.1 The authors of the Approach Methodology/ Concept/ Draft Design/ Final Draft Design are holders of personal copyrights within the meaning of Section 2 of the Copyright Act. Authors retain their copyrights, may publish their Approach Methodologies/ Concepts /Draft Designs /Final Draft Designs, and may use them again in another case.

14.2.2 By submitting the Concept / Draft Design/ Bid, the participants agree to the free reproduction and display of their Concepts / Draft Designs/ Final Draft Designs within the promotion of the Procurement Procedure and its results.

14.2.3 Concepts/ Draft Designs and Final Draft Designs become the property of the Contracting Authority. The authors of these designs grant to the Contracting Authority their consent to use their copyrights for the purpose of the Procurement Procedure and promotion of its results. The use of these participants' submissions or their parts for purposes other than those specified in this Tender Documentation is subject to express permission of the authors. The authors of these submissions explicitly agree to cooperate with the Contracting Authority in the preparation of the exhibition and the catalogue of the Procurement Procedure.

15 SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

15.1 OBJECTIONS

- 15.1.1 Participants may file objections to actions taken by the Contracting Authority in the Procurement Procedure pursuant to Section 241 et seq. of the PPA, specifically within 15 days from the date of delivery of the decision of the Contracting Authority, against which they defend.
- 15.1.2 Objections shall be submitted by the participant in writing through the Contracting Authority's Profile and must state who is submitting them, what are the contested actions of the Evaluation Committee or the Contracting Authority, what specifically is deemed to be a violation of the procurement terms and conditions and what the complainant demands.
- 15.1.3 The Contracting Authority shall review the submitted objections in full and, within 15 days from receiving the objections, shall send to the complainant a written decision on whether or not it recognises the objections, also including a justification. If the Contracting Authority recognises the objections, it shall state in its decision the method of remediation and notify this fact to all participants in the Procurement Procedure.

15.2 MOTION TO INITIATE PROCEEDINGS TO REVIEW THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S ACTIONS

- 15.2.1 The motion must be delivered to the Office for the Protection of Competition as well as to the Contracting Authority within 10 days from the delivery of the decision of the Contracting Authority on the objections, or within 25 days from sending the objections if the Contracting Authority has not decided on the objections.
- 15.2.2 The details of the motion to initiate proceedings to review the Contracting Authority's actions and further actions of the complainant are governed by the provisions of Section 249 et seq. of the PPA.

15.3 MOTION TO INITIATE ARBITRATION PROCEEDINGS TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE CCA PROFESSIONAL COURT

- 15.3.1 The motion must be delivered to the President of the Professional Court of the CCA no later than five working days from the delivery of the Contracting Authority's decision on the objections. The dispute is then addressed in accordance with the rules for arbitration proceedings set out in the Disciplinary and Conciliation Rules of the CCA.
- 15.3.2 Prerequisite to acceptance of the motion to initiate arbitration proceedings is the payment of a fee for arbitration proceedings in accordance with Section 102 of the Disciplinary and Conciliation Rules of the CCA.
- 15.3.3 Not allowable as the subject of arbitration proceedings are decisions of the Evaluation Committee concerning shortlisting the designs or the results of evaluation of the Bids of the participants in the Procurement Procedure, as well as decisions on the distribution of prizes and rewards and on setting their amount, unless these decisions have been made in direct connection with a serious violation of the rules of formal course of procedure of the Evaluation Committee set out in the Tender Documentation.
- 15.3.4 The arbitration award is final.

16 OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS

16.1 LANGUAGE OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

- 16.1.1 The Tender Documentation is compiled in Czech and English; some underlying documents according to Section 5.1 of the Tender Documentation are available only in the Czech language. In the event of any discrepancies between the Czech and English versions of the Tender Documentation or its annexes, which are published in both language versions, the wording of the Tender Documentation or its annexes in the Czech language takes precedence.
- 16.1.2 Any negotiations between the participant and the Contracting Authority shall take place in the Czech language with simultaneous interpretation from and into English if necessary. The costs of the simultaneous interpreter services shall be borne by the Contracting Authority.

16.2 APPLICABLE LAW

- 16.2.1 The Procurement Procedure shall be conducted in accordance with the Czech law.

16.3 RESERVATIONS OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

- 16.3.1 The Contracting Authority draws attention to the fact that this Tender Documentation is a summary of its particular requirements, with the rights and obligations of the Contracting Authority and the contractor in connection with the Procurement Procedure being further detailed in the respective provisions of the PPA.
- 16.3.2 By participation in the Procurement Procedure, the contractor fully and without reservations accepts the Tender Documentation, including all annexes and any amendments to this Tender Documentation.
- 16.3.3 The Contracting Authority assumes that the contractor has carefully studied, before its participation in the Procurement Procedure, all the instructions, forms, deadlines and specifications contained in the Tender Documentation and will observe these. The Contracting Authority cannot take into account any reservations of the Contractor as to the Tender Documentation contained in its submissions. Any objection may constitute non-compliance with the Tender Documentation and may result in the exclusion of the participant from participation in the Procurement Procedure (subject to the validity of the non-binding nature of the Competition Brief; see paragraph 3.3 of the Tender Documentation). The Contracting Authority shall exclude from the Procurement Procedure a contractor that provides false information in its submissions.
- 16.3.4 The submissions of participants must not contain corrections, retyped phrases and other discrepancies that could mislead the Contracting Authority.
- 16.3.5 All actions under this Procurement Procedure, as well as all communication between the Contracting Authority and the Contractor (including beyond the required electronic submission of the Application for Participation/ Approach Methodology/ Concept/ Draft Design and Bid) take place electronically, ideally via the Contracting Authority's Profile.
- 16.3.6 All documents sent via the Contracting Authority's Profile are deemed duly delivered on the day of their delivery to the user account of the recipient of the document in this Electronic Tool. The delivery of a document is not affected by whether the document has been read by its recipient, or whether the Electronic Tool has sent a notification to the recipient's contact e-mail address that a new message was delivered to their user account or not.
- 16.3.7 The participant in the Procurement Procedure is always responsible for duly and timely familiarising itself with the documents sent by the Contracting Authority via the Contracting Authority's Profile, as well as for accuracy of the contact details listed for the participant in the

Procurement Procedure.

- 16.3.8 The Contracting Authority is interested in awarding this Public Contract in accordance with the principles of responsible public procurement.

Specific manifestation of the principle of socially responsible procurement: The Contracting Authority has limited the scope of the conditions for participation in the Procurement Procedure to the necessary minimum, so that the subject of the performance of the Public Contract is in any case performed by a contractor possessing the corresponding qualification. By not limiting participation in the Procurement Procedure by qualification requirements beyond the necessary minimum, the Contracting Authority allows contractors to further develop their know-how in this area by participating in this Procurement Procedure. It also supports the development of the local economy in this business area. As the Contracting Authority in the given case demands a performance associated with a public benefit purpose, it stimulates the architectural culture and, at the same time, sets an example with its model actions for similar activities to other contracting authorities.

Specific manifestations of the principle of environmentally responsible procurement: In the performance of the subject of the Public Contract, the Contracting Authority shall ensure that the environmentally responsible way of designing is observed in relation to the area concerned. For this reason, the requirement for environmentally responsible design shall be included in the draft Contract.

Specific manifestation of the principle of innovation: The Contracting Authority has not set any binding terms and conditions of the Competition Brief in the Competition Brief; the requirements set out in the Competition Brief are recommendatory (see paragraph 3.3.2 of the Tender Documentation), thus encouraging an innovative approach to addressing the subject of the Public Contract.

- 16.3.9 By participating in the Procurement Procedure, the Contracting Authority, members of the Evaluation Committee and invited experts confirm that they have read all the terms and conditions of the Procurement Procedure and commit to comply with and honour the procurement terms and conditions.

Signatures of the Tender Documentation by the contracting authorities - see the Czech version of the Tender Documentation.