

INTERNATIONAL URBAN-TRANSPORT-ARCHITECTURAL TWO-PHASE RESTRICTED PROJECT COMPETITION
FOR THE

"Brno New Main Train Station"

EXPLANATION OF COMPETITION CONDITIONS NO 24

Based on the previous requests of the participant in accordance with paragraph 6.3 of the Competition Conditions, the Contracting Authority provides the following explanation of the Competition Conditions.

Identification of the Contracting Authority:

Správa železnic, státní organizace (**Railway Administration**, State organisation)

based in Dlážděná 1003/7, 110 00 Praha 1

Company ID No: 709 94 234

Tax ID No: CZ 70994234

represented by Ing. Mojmír Nejezchleb, Deputy General Director of the Railway Administration for Railway Modernisation

and

Statutární město Brno (Statutory City of Brno)

based in Dominikánské nám. 196/1, 601 67 Brno

Company ID No: 449 92 785

Tax ID No: CZ44992785

represented by JUDr. Markéta Vaňková, Mayor of the City

Contact person: Ing. Kristina Župková

email: zupkova.kristina@kambrno.cz

Inquiry No 127:

To what detail will Czech legislation, binding legal norms and decrees be taken into account? To what detail and to what extent will the construction concept and the choice of materials that are part of the architectural expression be assessed in the 1st round from the point of view of fire resistance and train operation?

Answer:

The Contracting Authority assumes that each participant will submit a competition proposal for evaluation that will be in accordance with the effective legal regulations of the Czech Republic and relevant technical standards, so that the competition proposals are feasible also with regard to obligations set by the Czech legal system or the relevant technical standards.

As part of the examination, the competition proposals will be checked for compliance with, among other things, the Competition Conditions. After that, the competition proposals will be evaluated by the jury on the basis of the criterion of complex quality of the competition proposal, consisting, *inter alia*, "in the functionality and feasibility of the competition proposal"[see paragraph 7.1(a) of the Competition Conditions], i.e. also in relation to feasibility from the point of view of relevant legal regulations or technical standards. The degree of fulfilment of the evaluation criteria in terms of the competition proposal's functionality and feasibility will be evaluated by the jury based on the experience and expertise of its individual members.

However, the Contracting Authority states that experts on fire safety solutions for buildings are not represented in the jury. In the event the jury is unsure when evaluating the proposals whether a proposal complies with certain obligations in the field of fire safety of buildings, it is entitled to invite another expert with the consent of the Contracting Authority (see paragraph 7.3.2 of the Competition Conditions).

Inquiry No 128:

On panel 01 there is the requirement for producing a 1:5000 urban plan and showing the proposal in the aerial view (which can be found in the P12 folder). The image suggests a similar scale; in what sense is this different in relation to the 1:5000 urban plan on panel 01 and the 1:1000 situation drawing on panel 02? Could you please clarify?

Answer:

The Contracting Authority first of all states that this is clearly a typo made during the preparation of the competition supporting documents, which incorrectly refers to the A12 document. Moreover, paragraph 5.2.1 of the Competition Conditions states that panel 1 will contain a "*drawing into the aerial image according to A11*", which is an overview photograph intended for the outline of the new station's visualisation.

The Contracting Authority points out to the participants that the supporting document A04 – Model Competition Panels is a recommended supporting document for the competition proposal's arrangement on the panel and therefore the wording of the Competition Conditions is decisive.

The Contracting Authority further points out that the required situation of the broader relations should reflect the integration of the proposal into the city's organism in the broader context of the city. The overall situation on panel 2 (in accordance with paragraph 5.2.2 of the Competition Conditions) should show in more detail the urban solution of the proposal within the solved area.

In Brno

doc. Ing. arch. Michal Sedláček
Director of Brno City's Architect Office, Contributory organisation